Chapter 6

Substation and Transmission Line Facilities

Chapter 6 Substation and Transmission Line Facilities

6.1 Design of Substation

6.1.1 Design Concept

 Topographical and Meteorological Site Conditions Topographical and Meteorological Site Conditions are shown in following Table 6.1.1;

| | Descriptions | Data |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Altitude above sea level-maximum | 1000 m |
| 2 | Air pressure yearly average | 1010.8 mill bars |
| 3 | Air Temperatures | |
| 3a | -Maximum Peak (Design maximum ambient temperature) | 50°C |
| 3b | -Highest maximum for 6 hours a day | 55°C |
| 3c | -Maximum daily average | 40°C |
| 3d | -Maximum yearly average | 30 °C |
| 3e | -Minimum | - 10°C |
| 3f | Highest one day variation | 25 °C |
| 4 | Sun temperature in direct sunlight | 80°C |
| 5 | Maximum ground temp at depth of 100mm | 35°C |
| 6 | Humidity | |
| 6a | -Maximum relative humidity at 40 degrees | 92% |
| 6b | -Minimum relative humidity | 12% |
| 6c | -Yearly average | 38/44% |
| 7 | Pollution level | Heavy airborne |
| | | Contamination |
| 8 | Dust Storms | 21.5 days/annum |
| 9 | Isoceraunic level all equipment) | 15 days/annum |
| 10 | Maximum wind velocity(for design purposes) | 40.2 m/sec |
| 11 | Ice loading, radial thickness | NIL mm |
| 12a | Total rainfall | 500 mm |
| | Maximum | |
| 12b | Minimum | 50 mm |
| 12c | Maximum in one day | 72 mm |
| 12d | Average per year | 150.8 mm |
| 13 | Seismic loading | Uniform Building |
| | | Code Zone3 |

Source: RMEK Specification

(2) Electrical Design Criteria;

The Electrical Design Criteria is shown in following Table 6.1.2:

| | Descriptions | 400kV | 132kV (in a 400kV Substation) | 11kV Tertiary |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| (a) | Rated System Voltage | 420 kV | 145 kV | 12 kV |
| (b) | Nominal System Voltage | 400 kV | 132 kV | 11 kV |
| (c) | System Earthing | Effective | Effective | Impedance |
| (d) | System Frequency | 50 Hz | 50 Hz | 50 Hz |
| (e) | Lightning Impulse Withstand Voltage | 1425kV | 650kV | 75kV |
| (f) | Power Frequency Withstand Voltage | 650kV | 275kV | 28kV |
| (e) | Estimated X/R ratio | 100 | <u> </u> | · |
| (f) | System Short Circuit Level | 28000 MVA | 11500 MVA | 950 MVA |
| (g) | System Short Circuit Level/Sec. | 40 kA/1S | 50 kA(*1)/1S | 50 kA/1S |
| (h) | Busbar Rated Current | 4000 A | 3150 A | 4000 A |
| (i) | Sound level (NEMATR-1) | 88 dB | 88 B | |

| Table | 612 | Flectrical | Design | Criteria |
|-------|-------|------------|--------|----------|
| Table | 0.1.2 | LICUICAI | Design | Cincina |

Source: RMEK Specification

Note1: (*1) System Short Circuit Level of 50kA; Presently, 40kA is applied. RMEK is upgrading the short circuit level to 50kA, which to be reconfirmed to RMEK during Tender stage.

Note2: All other detailed design criteria(data), such as withstand voltage between across isolating distance of switchgears, withstand voltage of transformer winding/neutral and control/protection scheme such as auto reclose, breaker failure protection etc. will be discussed with RMEK during Tender Stage.

(3) Main Equipment Requirement;

- a) Basic Standard of Electrical equipment ; IEC
- b) Applicable Specification; IRAQ POWER RECONSTRUCTION, IRAQ SUPERGRID PROJECTS 400/132kV SUBSTATION TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION VOLUME 1, VOLUME 2 and VOLUME 3 (ISSUE 2 – MARCH 2005)
 The detailed descriptions/technical data in the Specification will be reviewed & discussed during

The detailed descriptions/technical data in the Specification will be reviewed & discussed during Tender Stage.

- c) Switchgear
 - c1) Type of switchgear
 - 400kV; Gas Insulated Switchgear (GIS)(*2)
 - 132kV; Gas Insulated Switchgear (GIS)(*2)
 - 11kV ; Metal clad Switchgear

Note: (*2) GIS: GIS is new technology comparing with AIS conventional switchgear and RMEK preferred the application of GIS.

- c2) Type of Busbar
 - 400kV; One and a half circuit breaker system
 - 132kV; Double Busbar
 - 11kV ; Single Busbar
- d) Power Transformer

d1) Winding arrangement (Separate or Auto) ; Auto Transformer

- d2) Single phase or three phase type
- ; Single phase type
- e) Control system; Substation Control System (SCS)
- f) Type of Protection relays; Numerical type Note: Main protection relay will be Distance relay, High impedance relay or Low impedance relay etc., which details shall be discussed with RMEK during the Tender Stage.

6.1.2 Detailed Design for Gomaspan Substation and Arbat Substation

(1) Single line Diagrams of 400kV and 132kV system;



Source: JICA Survey Team (RMEK Specification & Discussion) Figure 6.1.1 Single line Diagram of 400kV System





As shown in the single line diagrams;

- a) The 400kV switchgear consists of four (4) 400kV Line/Transformer diameters plus one future diameter (Space only).
- b) Six (6) single phase of 250/3MVA transformer (2units of complete transformer) shall be installed in the substation and spaces for the future six (6) single phase transformer (2 units of complete transformer) shall be provided.
- c) The 132kV switchgears consist of two (2) transformer feeders, eight (8) outgoing feeders, two (2) bus sections and two (2) bus coupler circuits. Additional two (2) transformer feeders and eight (8) outgoing feeders will be provided in future, and then space for the future equipment shall be taking into the design.

(2) Typical substation layout;

The typical substation layout is shown in following Figure 6.1.3:



Source: JICA Survey Team (RMEK Specification & Discussion)

Figure 6.1.3 Typical Substation Layout

- a) Both 400kV and 132kV GIS is indoor type.
- b) The overall area dimensions of the substation will be considered as 320m x 270m including temporally storage area, site offices etc.
- c) The 400kV GIS outgoing feeders are connected to 400kV Over Head Transmission Lines.
- d) 400kV GIS and 250MVA/3 transformers are connected by overhead conductors.
- e) 132kV side of 250/3MVA transformers is led by 132kV power cable to be connected to 132kV GIS.
- f) 132kV GIS outgoing feeders are arranged as; Eight (8) Over Head Transmission Lines to be provided with the Gantry tower inside the substation.

6.2 Design of Transmission Lines

6.2.1 Design Conditions

Basic design conditions are as mentioned below

| (1) Atmospheric Temperature | |
|------------------------------|--------|
| Maximum air temperature: | 40 °C |
| Minimum air temperature: | -15 °C |
| Annual mean air temperature: | 25 °C |

- (2) Wind Velocity Maximum design gust wind velocity is 40 m/s at 10 m height
- (3) Maximum Annual Rainfall 500 mm
- (4) Ice Loading, Radial Thickness 10 mm
- (5) Other conditions assumed Maximum humidity: 92 % Seismic acceleration: 0.2

6.2.2 Conductor and Ground Wire Design

(1) Conductor and ground-wire

The technical characteristics of the conductor are shown in 6.2.1. OPGW 24F to match ASCR "Dorking" properties is used for ground-wires.

| Туре | ACSR 490 mm ² (IEC61089) |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Component of stranded wires | Al: 54/3.40 mm |
| Total area of aluminum wires | 553.8 mm ² |
| Overall diameter | 30.6 mm |
| Weight | 1,852 kg/km |
| Ultimate tensile strength | 152.9 kN |
| Modulus of elasticity | 70,000 N/ mm ² |
| Coefficient of linear expansion | 19.3 x 10 ⁻⁶ / °C |
| DC resistance at 20 °C | 0.0590 Ω/km |

Source: JICA Survey Team (RMEK Specification)

(2) Standard span length

Standard span length between towers: 450 m.

6.2.3 Insulator Design

(1) Insulator type and size

a) Type:

Insulator unit applied to the transmission lines is a standard disc, fog type insulator with ball and socket.

b) Strength:

| (; | (*: RUS: Rated Ultimate Strength) | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|------------|--|
| | Tower | R.U.S. (*) | |
| | Suspension | 120 kN | |
| | Tension | 160 kN | |

| Table 6.2.2 Insulator Strength | |
|--|------------|
| \bullet DUC D (1 UU) (C) | a . |

Source: JICA Survey Team (RMEK Specification)

(2) Number of insulator units per String Suspension tower: 30 units, Tension tower: 24 units

6.2.4 Ground Clearance

- (1) Ground Clearance
- (2) The most severe state for the ground clearance of the conductors will occur when the conductor's temperature rises to 90 °C under still air condition. The minimum height of the conductor above ground at 400 kV level is determined as below.

| Table 6.2.3 | Minimum | Height | of Conductor | above | Ground |
|-------------|---------|--------|--------------|-------|--------|
| 10010 0.2.5 | winnun | ingin | of conductor | 00000 | oround |

| Crossing point of main road 10.0 | ght |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| | m |
| Normal ground 8.5 | m |

Source: JICA Survey Team (RMEK Specification)

6.2.5 Determination of Tower Configuration

- (1) Number of ground-wires
- Number: 2
- (2) Tower Configurations
 - The typical 400 kV tower configurations are as follows.

| Table 6.2.4 Tower Type | es and the Applied Conditions |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
|------------------------|-------------------------------|

| Type (Double Circuit) | Position of Use | Angle of Deviation or Entry | Type of Insulator |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| YA (Figure 6.2.1) | Straight Line | 0-2 | V-Suspension |
| YC (Figure 6.2.2) | Angle | 0-30 | Tension |

Source: JICA Survey Team (RMEK Specification)



Source: JICA Survey Team (RMEK Specification)

Figure 6.2.1 Type "YA" Tower (V-Suspension, Horizontal angle; 0-2 degree)



Figure 6.2.2 Type "YC" Tower (Tension, Horizontal angle; 0-30 degree)

6.2.6 Foundation Configuration

The typical foundation configurations are as follows.



Source: JICA Survey Team (RMEK Specification)



6.3 Quantities of Substation Facilities

The scope of works for the project includes design, manufacturing, factory testing, delivery, civil works, installation and testing & commissioning of the following substation equipment / facilities: Quantities of facilities/equipment for 400kV Gomaspan Substation and Arbat Substation facilities are shown in the following table 6.3.1 for each substation;

| No. | Descriptions | Q'ty |
|-----|---|--------------|
| 1 | Indoor type 400kV GIS 40kA | 4 Diameters |
| 1 | Indoor type 400k V CIIS - 40kA | (12CB) |
| 2 | Indoor type 132kV GIS - 50kA | 14 CB Bays |
| | | 6 (2 three |
| 3 | Single phase 250/3MVA Auto transformer, $400/\sqrt{3}/138.6/\sqrt{3}/11/\sqrt{3}kV$ | phase units) |
| 4 | 400kV 50MVA Shunt Reactor | 4 Units |
| 5 | 11kV Metal Clad Switchgear For auxiliary circuits | Lot |
| 6 | 400kV Outdoor Equipment and Gantry | 4ccts |
| 7 | 132kV Outdoor Equipment and Gantry | 8ccts |
| 8 | SCS (Substation Control System) | Lot |
| 9 | Protection system | Lot |
| 10 | AC/DC System | Lot |
| 11 | Tele-communication system | Lot |
| 12 | 132kV, 11kV, LV & control cables | Lot |
| 13 | 11kV E-Tr, NGR, 11kV capacitor bank, 11kV Shunt reactor | Lot |
| 14 | Buildings and building services equipment | Lot |

Table 6.3.1 Quantities of Facilities of each Substation

Source: JICA Survey Team

6.4 Quantities of Transmission Line Materials

Standard quantities of 400 kV transmission lines were estimated based on the similar projects in RMEK.

(1) Assumed number of towers

Number of towers is estimated for a typical terrain of mountainous areas on the assumed line length 10 km.

Table 6.4.1 Tower Type and Tower Number per 10 km Long

| | Suspension | Tension | Total | Assumption |
|------------------|------------|---------|----------|---|
| Mountainous area | 18 units | 5 units | 23 units | Length: 10km 80% suspension tower and 20% tension tower Average span length: 450m |

Source: JICA Survey Team

(2) Average quantities of line materials

Quantities of a 400 kV transmission line per 10 km were estimated in Table 6.9.

| Table 6.4.2 Average Quantities of 400 kV Transmission | 1 Lines | per 10 |) km I | Long |
|---|---------|--------|--------|------|
|---|---------|--------|--------|------|

| | | 400 kV*2cct |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Tower | 740 t |
| | Conductor | 240 km |
| ACSR490 mm ² | OPGW | 20 km |
| (Mountainous area) | Suspension insulator string | 108 sets |
| | Tension insulator string | 60 sets |
| | Foundation (Concrete volume) | 1200 m ³ |

Source: JICA Survey Team

6.5 Construction Schedule

6.5.1 The Whole Construction Schedule for the Project

The whole Project Construction schedule starting from Selection of the Consultant is shown in the following Figure 6.5.1;

As shown, the period of the Consultant selection will be 12 months. And, engineering service stage-1, i.e., Prequalification, Tender periods up to the Contract with the Contractor will be 24months. The construction period of the substation is 26months and 18months for the transmission line. Guarantee (Warrantee) period is 24 months from Taking Over the sites to the Owner.

The LOT is separated in three Lots, that is, two substation Lots, i.e., Lot 1(SS1) and Lot 2(SS2), and one Transmission Lot as Lot 3(TL).

The duration of construction period and LOT-separation, such as putting Lot1 and Lot 2 together, will be finalized during the Prequalification/Tender stage.

Note: The number of the tenders can be considered one lot, two lots and three lots. In order to make project implementation period as short as possible, RMEK prefers to start one lot, which means two substation projects and one transmission project shall be started at the same time as one package. The final decision shall be made by RMEK at the implementation.

Expected Implementation Schedule for Construction of 400kV SS in RMEK



Source: JICA Survey Team



6.5.2 Construction Schedule of the Substations

The construction periods will be 26months from the commencement date and the guarantee period by the Contractor would be 24months from the taking over (TOAC) as shown in Figure 6.5.2.





Source: JICA Survey Team

Source: JICA Survey Team



6.5.3 Construction Schedule of the Transmission Line

The construction periods will be 18 months from the commencement date and the guarantee period by the Contractor would be 24 months from the taking over (TOAC) as shown in Figure 6.5.3

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|---------------|---|
| Commencement | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Survey & Manufa. Designing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Access road construction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manufacturing & Transportation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foundation work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tower election work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | [| | | | | | |
| Stringing work | | | • | | | | • | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Connection to SSs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * | | | | | | |
| Testing & commissioning | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOAC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ▼ | | | |
| FAC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 24M | \rightarrow | ▼ |
| < | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 6.5.3 Construction Schedule of the Transmission Line

6.6 Project Cost Estimation

6.6.1 Construction Cost of 400 kV Substation

The budgetary construction cost of the proposed 400kV substation (one substation) is 90 million dollars, including contingency, which was converted to this project scope from the recent Tender Price submitted on September 2012 in JICA Electricity Reconstruction Project (MoE). The estimation cost is shown in the following Table 6.6.1;

| Items / Descriptions | Q'ty | л . |
|--|----------------|------------|
| | ~) | Price |
| | | (K USD) |
| 1. Supply of Equipment | | |
| Electrical & Communication Equipment | | |
| 1.1 400kV GIS | 4 Diameters | 13,000 |
| 1.2 250MVA Transformer | 2 Units | 5,600 |
| 1.3 400kV Shunt Reactor | 4 Units | 4,400 |
| 1.4 132kV GIS (*) | 14 CB circuits | 6,400 |
| 1.5 Control/protection | 1 Lot | 3,500 |
| 1.6 Others | 1 Lot | 13,500 |
| 1.7 Insurances and Security for Inland Transportation | 1 Lot | 6,700 |
| 2. Site Work | | |
| 2.1 Electrical Site Work & Civil/Building Work | 1 Lot | 19,000 |
| 2.2 Insurance | 1 Lot | 1,900 |
| 3. Spare Parts & Maintenance Equipment | t 1 Lot | 2,000 |
| 4. Engineering, Electrical Design, Civil Design, Factory Inspection and Management etc including Training. | 1 Lot | 5,800 |
| Net Contract Price | | 81,800 |
| Contingency (10% of Net Contract Price) | | 8,180 |
| Total Tender / Contract Price | | 89,980 |

Table 6.6.1 Cost Summary Table

Notes; 132kV GIS (*) : Due to 50kA rating of short circuit current, cost of 220kV GIS is applied for.

90MUSD

Source: JICA Survey Team (RMEK discussion)

Note; Foreign currency is generally applied for international contract in Iraq.

6.6.2 Construction Cost of 400 kV Transmission Line

(1) Unit Prices

Table 6-11 shows the unit prices per km applied to the cost estimation of the transmission line. The table has been prepared referring to the recent contract prices of similar 400kV transmission line project in RMEK. Various ICB price data owned by the Team has also been referred to. From the site route survey carried out by our JICA Survey team, it has been investigated that the route is mountain area and steep mountain area. Considering the difficulties of the construction due to mountain and steep mountain area, the estimated cost for the transmission lines in these areas was resulted in around 1.10 and 1.25 times respectively that in normal flat area as shown in Table 6.6.2.

The details of the estimation are shown in Tables 6.6.3.

Table 6.6.2 Unit Prices for 400 kV Transmission Lines per km

(Unit; US\$)

| | 400 |) kV, 2 cct |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| | Mountain | Steep Mountain |
| ACSR490 mm ² (Double) | 626,579 | 706,904 |

Source: JICA Survey Team

Table 6.6.3 Assumed Estimation for 400 kV TL Construction Cost

| | | Assumption: (1) Length: 10km (2) Ratio of Tenssion Towers for All Towers : Mountain Area: 80% of Suspenssion Towe (3) Average Span: 450m (4) Foundation Type: 100% of Pad Type Found (5) Soil Conditions: Normal | ers (18u dations | nits), 20' | % of Tenssion To | wers (5units) | | | | | |
|------------|-----|--|---------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| ح | | | 400 |)kV, 2c | ct, (10km), I | Nountain Area | 400k | V, 2cct, | (10km), Stee | p Mountain Area | |
| 0g0 | No | Itomo | Coi | ndutco | r:ACSR 490 | mm2, Double | С | ondutco | r : ACSR 490 | mm2, Double | Domorko |
| Cate | NO. | Items | 11 | 0'+ | Unit Rate | Amount | 11 | 0'+ | Unit Rate | Amount | i terriarks |
| Ŭ | | | Unit | Qty | (US\$) | (US\$) | Unit | Qty | (US\$) | (US\$) | |
| | 1 | Tower | ton | 740 | 2,100 | 1,554,000 | ton | 740 | 2,100 | 1,554,000 | |
| H CE | 2 | Conductor | km | 120 | 5,500 | 660,000 | km | 120 | 5,500 | 660,000 | |
| JRA | 3 | OPGW 60mm2 | km | 20 | 5,500 | 110,000 | km | 20 | 5,500 | 110,000 | |
| FRE | 5 | Suspension Insulator String | set | 108 | 3,200 | 345,600 | set | 108 | 3,200 | 345,600 | |
| ND. | 6 | Tension Insulator String | set | 60 | 3,200 | 192,000 | set | 60 | 3,200 | 192,000 | |
| °° | 7 | Accessories | lot | 1 | 10% | 286,160 | lot | 1 | 10% | 286,160 | |
| | | Subtotal | | | | 3,147,760 | | | | 3,147,760 | |
| <u> </u> | 1 | Survey & S. Investigation | km | 10 | 3,000 | 30,000 | km | 10 | 3,500 | 35,000 | |
| I AN | 2 | Access Construction | km | 20 | 2,500 | 50,000 | km | 20 | 3,500 | 70,000 | |
| II NOL | 4 | Foundation (Volume of Concrete) | m ³ | 1200 | 1,000 | 1,200,000 | m ³ | 1200 | 1,400 | 1,680,000 | |
| TAT | 5 | Tower Erection | ton | 1200 | 800 | 960,000 | ton | 1200 | 1,000 | 1,200,000 | |
| LAE 20R | 6 | Stringing | km | 10 | 10,000 | 100,000 | km | 10 | 12,000 | 120,000 | |
| NSP NSP | 7 | Inland Transportion | | | CIF*20% | 629,552 | | | CIF*20% | 629,552 | |
| DS1 FRA | 8 | Miscellaneous | lot | 1 | 5% | 148,478 | lot | 1 | 5% | 186,728 | |
| o' | | Subtotal | | | | <u>3,118,030</u> | | | | 3,921,280 | |
| | | Total | | | | 6,265,790 | | | | 7,069,040 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Source: JICA Survey Team

(2) Cost Estimate of Transmission Line

Table 6.6.4 shows the construction cost of transmission lines for the Project.

| No. | Sections | Items | Total | | | |
|------------|--|------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | | | (US\$) | | | |
| 1 | Moderate Mountain Area between Bazian | Materials | 19,201,000 | | | |
| | SS~Arbat SS (61 km) | Civil & Erection | 19,020,000 | | | |
| | | Sub-total | 38,221,000 | | | |
| 2 | Steep Mountain Area = Between Shakh-i | Materials | 2,518,000 | | | |
| | Darmana mountain and Shakh-i Kani Bi | Civil & Erection | 3,137,000 | | | |
| | mountain (8 km) | Sub-total | 5,655,000 | | | |
| | Summation of Construction Cost (69 km) | Materials | 21,719,000 | | | |
| | (No.1 + No. 2) | Civil & Erection | 22,157,000 | | | |
| | | Summation | 43,876,000 | | | |
| | | | (\$636,000/km) | | | |
| 3 | Contingency & Escalation | 20% of | 8,775,200 | | | |
| | | Summation Cost | | | | |
| | Total Cost | | | | | |
| ICA Survey | Team | | Say 53MUS\$ | | | |

Table 6.6.4 Construction Cost of Transmission Line

Source: J

Note; Foreign currency is generally applied for international contract in Iraq.

6.6.3 Payment for Land Acquisition of Tower Sites and Substation and Compensation for ROW

In Iraqi Kurdistan, the country owns land, and the relevant ministries and agencies have the right to control the land. In the case of public use, land is provided at no charge.

Due to the use of public land, prior private users have to release control of the land. And the ministries or agencies provide alternative land to compensate the right of prior use.

6.6.4 Environment Monitoring Cost

As environmental monitoring, creating an EIA report is a typical work. Not for identifying the monitoring companies, but environmental consultants have to be authorized by the Ministry of environment. In general, developers for construction receive introduction of professional consultants by Environmental Protection and Improvement Board and delegate the creation of the EIA report.

It is said that the cost of EIA report preparation is, USD5000 for complicated cases, USD2000 \sim 3000 for normal cases, and less than USD1000 for very easy cases.

6.6.5 Consulting Service Cost

Table 6.6.5 shows the TOR Draft for the Consulting Services for the Project.

| 1. Common | 1.1 | The Consultants shall draft letter from the Owner to JICA, |
|----------------------|-----|---|
| | | Contractors and anyone instructed by the Owner. |
| | 1.2 | The Consultants shall answer and explain questions and |
| | | clarifications from the Owner for technical and commercial |
| | | issues anytime requested. |
| | 1.3 | The Consultants shall advise the Owner the guidelines of |
| | | JCIA anytime requested. |
| 2. PQ Management | 2.1 | The Consultants shall draft the PQ documents which consists |
| | | of invitation to applicant, instruction to applicant and |
| | | templates which applicants shall fill in. |
| | 2.2 | The Consultants shall make announcement of the PQ (one |
| | | English newspaper in Jordan, one Arabic newspaper in |
| | | Jordan, three Arabic newspapers in Iraq) on behalf of the |
| | | Owner. |
| | 2.3 | The Consultants shall receive PQ applications at the presence |
| | | of delegates from the Owner. |
| | 2.4 | The Consultants shall evaluate PQ applications and submit |
| | | the report to the Owner. |
| | 2.5 | The Consultants shall prepare and explain evaluation |
| | | criteria and submit evaluation sheet to the Owner. |
| | 2.6 | The Consultants shall submit draft of the PQ evaluation |
| | | report for Owner's review. |
| | 2.7 | The Consultants shall submit list of clarifications for |
| | | applicants to answer if need be. |
| | 2.8 | The Consultants shall draft answers to JICA's clarification. |
| 3. Tender Management | 3.1 | The Consultants shall perform site JICA Survey for each of |
| | | the project sites. |

Table 6.6.5 Terms of Reference (Draft) for the Consulting Services

| | 3.2 | The Consultants shall submit draft of the tender documents: |
|-------------------|------|---|
| | | invitation to tenderer, instruction to tenderer, special |
| | | conditions, and technical specifications. |
| | 3.3 | The Consultants shall draft answers to JICA's clarifications |
| | | to the tender documents. |
| | 3.4 | The Consultants shall reproduce the tender documents for |
| | | tenderers. |
| | 3.5 | The Consultants shall distribute the tender documents for |
| | | tenderers on behalf of the Owner. |
| | 3.6 | The Consultants shall receive the tenders at the presence of |
| | | the Owner on behalf of the Owner. |
| | 3.7 | The Consultants shall perform tender opening of Envelop A |
| | | (technical) in accordance with checklist at the presence of the |
| | | Owner and JICA on behalf of the Owner. |
| | 3.8 | The Consultants shall submit draft of the technical |
| | | evaluation report to the Owner. |
| | 3.9 | The Consultants shall explain evaluation criteria and provide |
| | | technical evaluation sheet. |
| | 3.10 | The Consultants shall submit draft of the technical |
| | | evaluation report for Owner's review. |
| | 3.11 | The Consultants shall submit list of clarifications for |
| | | applicants to answer if need be. |
| | 3.12 | The Consultants shall perform tender opening of Envelop B |
| | | (financial) in accordance with checklist at the presence of the |
| | | Owner and JICA on behalf of the Owner. |
| | 3.13 | The Consultants shall submit draft of the financial evaluation |
| | | report to the Owner. |
| | 3.14 | The Consultants shall explain evaluation criteria and provide |
| | | evaluation sheet. |
| | 3.15 | The Consultants shall submit draft of the financial evaluation |
| | | report for Owner's review. |
| | 3.16 | The Consultants shall submit list of clarifications for |
| | | applicants to answer if need be. |
| | 3.17 | The Consultants shall assist and support the Owner in |
| | | negotiating with the first ranked tenderer. |
| | 3.18 | The Consultants shall draft the contract documents |
| | | (commercial and technical). |
| 4. Implementation | 4.1 | The Consultants shall assist and support the Owner in |
| | | opening LC in favor of the contractor. |
| | 4.2 | The Consultants shall attend the kick off meeting. |
| | 4.3 | The Consultants shall attend the progress/coordination |
| | | meetings. |
| | 4.4 | The Consultant shall supervise the progress of the Project |
| | | Schedule (design, manufacturing, factory tastings, delivery, |
| | | site installation, site testing and commissioning tests) and |
| | | comment, if any. |
| | 4.5 | The Consultant shall review the Contractor's proposed |
| | | Project Schedule, Organization Chart, Drawing list etc and |

| | | comment if any |
|---------------------|------|---|
| | 16 | The Consultant shall assist the Owner and draft the |
| | 4.0 | documenta if the Amendment of the Contract become |
| | | documents if the Amendment of the Contract became |
| | | necessary with the Contractor. |
| | 4.7 | The Consultants shall review the Contractor's "For approval |
| | | drawings" and make comments on them. |
| | 4.8 | The Consultant shall review the Factory Test Reports and |
| | | comments, if any. |
| | 4.9 | The Consultants shall draft (1) Documentation Manual and |
| | | (2) Site Management Manual for smooth/safety project |
| | | execution. |
| | 4.10 | The Consultants shall assist and support the Owner for the |
| | | site works, such as Civil works, installation works etc. |
| | 4.11 | The Consultants shall assist and support the site testing and |
| | | commissioning test. |
| | 4.12 | The Consultant shall assist and support the Owner to collect |
| | | O & M Manuals, Site tests reports, Red-marked drawings |
| | | and As built Drawings". |
| | 4.13 | The Consultants shall assist and support the Owner in taking |
| | | over the site (TOAC). |
| | 4.14 | The Consultant shall assist and support the Owner in |
| | | cooperating with JICA, if any. |
| 5. Warrantee Period | 5.1 | The Consultants shall assist and support the Owner |
| | | technically and commercially. |
| | 5.2 | The Consultant shall assist and support the Owner to |
| | | complete the outstanding in Snag list of TOAC. |
| | 5.3 | The Consultant shall assist and support the Owner in Final |
| | | Acceptance Certificate. |

Source; JICA Survey Team

Note: Based on the scope of the services as shown in Table 6.1.4, MM allocation has been understood by RMEK. RMEK considers a choice to minimize the cost, making international consultants as visiting basis. Site offices for each lot shall be managed by Kurudistan managers and engineers, however, the responsibility shall belong to the international consultants.

The following Figure 6.6.1 shows Draft Consultant MM Plan and Table 6.6.6 shows Consulting Services cost for the Project.

| | | | | | | | 201 | 4 | | | | | 201 | 15 | | | | | | 201 | 6 | | | | | | | 2017 | | | | | | | | 2018 | | | | |
|----------|----------|---|---------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------|------------|---------|----------|-------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|----------|--------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|------------|-----|
| fule | No. | Scope / Events | Descriptions | Duration | Jan Feb Ma | arAprMay | Jun J | ul Aug Sep | Oct No | v Dec Ja | n Feb | MarAprN | 1ay Jun | Jul Aug | Sep Oc | t Nov Dec | c Jan I | eb Mar | AprM | ay Jun | Jul Aus | Sep O | ct Nov | Dec Jar | ı Feb N | /ar Ap | rMayJ | un Jul | Aug | Sep Oct | NovD | Dec Ja | n FebN | MarAp | or May J | Jun Ju | I Aug! | Sep Oc | t Nov | Dee |
| chec | | | | | 1 2 3 | 4 5 | 6 | 7 8 9 | 10 11 | 12 13 | 3 14 | 15 16 1 | 17 18 | 19 20 | 21 22 | 2 23 24 | 25 | 26 27 | 28 2 | 9 30 | 31 32 | 33 3 | 4 35 | 36 37 | 38 3 | 39 40 | 41 4 | 12 43 | 44 | 45 46 | 47 4 | 48 49 | 5 0 5 | 51 52 | 2 53 ! | 54 55 | 5 56 | 57 58 | 3 59 | 60 |
| on S | | | | | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 56 | 7 8 | 9 10 | 0 11 12 | 13 | 14 15 | 16 1 | 7 18 | 19 20 | 21 2 | 2 23 | 24 25 | 26 | 27 28 | 29 3 | 30 31 | 32 | 33 34 | 35 3 | 36 37 | 7 38 3 | 39 40 | 3 41 | 42 43 | 3 44 | 45 46 | 5 47 | 48 |
| Itati | LOT1 | 400kV GIS Substation Construction | | 26 months | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Iama | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | <u>+</u> | | - | |
| mple | LOT2 | 400kV GIS Substation Construction | | 26 months | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 56 | 78 | 9 10 | 0 11 12 | 13 | 14 15 | 16 1 | 7 18 | 19 20 | 21 2 | 2 23 | 24 25 | 26 | 27 28 | 29 3 | 30 31 | 32 | 33 34 | 35 3 | 36 37 | 7 38 3 | 39 40 |) 41 4 | 12 43 | ; 44 / | 45 46 | • 47 | 48 |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LOTA | 400LW OWL Construction | | 10 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 6 | 7 8 | 9 10 | 11 12 | 13 | 14 15 | 16 1 | 7 18 | 19 20 | 21 2 | 2 23 | 24 25 | 26 | 27 28 | 29 3 | 30 31 | 32 | 33 34 | 35 3 | 36 32 | 7 38 3 | 39 40 |) 41 4 | 42 43 | 3 44 | 45 46 | 4 7 | 48 |
| | LOIS | 400kV OHL Construction | | 18 months | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Consult | ing Services | | XX months | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| | 1 Eoroi | an Consultant (IP) | | MM | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | _ |
| | 1. Forei | | | IVIIVI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | TT | | | - |
| 됕 | F-1 | Project Manager | Multiple LOT | 46.0 | | | | | | 1 | . 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 3 |
| sulta | | Senior Electrical Engineer | Multiple LOT | 46.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 3 |
| l g | | Senior Civil Engineer | Multiple LOT | 46.0 | | | | | | 1 | . 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 3 |
| for | F-2 | Senior Control & Protection Engineer | Multiple LOT | 46.0 | | | | | | 1 | . 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | L 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 3 |
| M | | Senior Telecom.,Engineer | Multiple LOT | 46.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | L 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 3 |
| | | Senior Commercial Expert | Multiple LOT | 46.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | ι 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 3 |
| | | | S-Engnr Sub Total | 230.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total fo | r Foreign Consultants | | 230.0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 6 | 6 | 6 6 | 6 6 | 6 6 | 6 6 | 6 6 | 6 | 6 6 | 6 6 | 5 6 | 6 6 | 6 6 | 5 6 | 6 6 | 6 | 6 6 | 6 | 6 6 | 6 | 6 6 | 6 | 6 6 | 6 | 6 6 | 6 | 0 0 | 6 | 0 6 | 0 | 18 |
| | 2. Local | Site Consultant (in Baghdad office) | | ММ | | | | | | - | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 1 (| | | | | 1 | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | L-1 | Senior Engineer / Leader | Multiple LOT | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | TT | | | 3 |
| | | Sonior Electrical Engineer /Leader of the LOT | SS LOT1 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | | | +-+ | 3 |
| | | Senior Electrical Engineer /Leader of the LOT | | 44.0 | | | | | | | - | 1 1 | | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 | - | 1 1 | - | 1 1 1 1 | - | 1 1 | - | 1 1 | - | 1 1 | - | | | | + | |
| | | Senior Electrical Engineer/Leader of the LOT | 55 1012 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | . 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | | | | | + | 3 |
| | | Senior Electrical Engineer/Leader of the LOT | OHL LOT3 | 36.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| | | Senior Civil Engineer | SS LOT2 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | . 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | | | | 3 |
| | L-2 | Senior Civil Engineer | SS LOT2 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | . 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | l 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | L 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | | | | 3 |
| | | Senior Civil Engineer | OHL LOT3 | 36.0 | | | | | | 1 | . 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | ι 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| | | Senior Control & Protection Engineer | SS LOT1 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | ι 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | | | | 3 |
| | | Senior Control & Protection Engineer | SS LOT2 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | ι 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | . 1 | | | | | 3 |
| | | Senior Telecom.,Engineer | SS LOT1 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | L 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | . 1 | | | | | 3 |
| | | Senior Telecom.,Engineer | SS LOT2 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | ι 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | +-+ | | +++ | 3 |
| | | | S-Engnr Sub Total | 380.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | +-+ | | +-+ | |
| | | Electrical Engineer | SS LOT1 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | | | +-+ | 3 |
| | 1.2 | Electrical Engineer | SE LOT2 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | - | 1 1 | - | _ | | | + | 2 |
| | 1-3 | | 55 L012 | 44.0 | | | | | | 1 | | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | - | 1 1 | • | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | - | | | | + | 3 |
| | | Electrical Engineer | OHL LO13 | 36.0 | | | | | | 1 | . 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | _ | | | | | + | 3 |
| <u> </u> | | | S-Engnr Sub Total | 44.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Local | Site Consultant (in each site) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | _ | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Q-3.1.1 | Site Mamager | SS LOT1 | 29.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | L 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | $\downarrow \downarrow$ | | \square | - |
| | | | SM Sub Total | 29.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 2 1 2 | Senior Electrical Engineer | SS LOT1 | 29.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | ι 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| | Q-3.1.2 | Senior Civil Engineer | SS LOT1 | 29.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | l 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | S-Engnr Sub Total | 58.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Q-3.2.1 | Site Mamager | SS LOT2 | 29.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | L 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | . 1 | - | +-+ | - | | |
| | | | SM Sub Total | 29.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | ++ | |
| | | Senior Electrical Engineer | SS LOT2 | 29.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | | | +-+ | |
| | Q-3.2.2 | Senior Civil Engineer | SS LOT2 | 29.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | | | + | |
| | | | C Engene Cash T-1-1 | EP.0 | + | | | | | | + | | | | | | 1 | | | - | | | | - 1 | - | - 1 | 1 | - 1 | - | | - | - 1 | - | - 1 | +++ | | + | | + | |
| | 0 | | S-Engnr Sub Total | 58.0 | +++ | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | ++ | | | | | | | - | | - | | | _ | + | | + | — | ++ | — | + | - |
| | Q-3.3.1 | Site Mamager | OHL LO13 | 21.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | ι 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | | | + | | + | _ | ++ | | + | - |
| | | Conjoy Electrical Engineer | SM Sub Total | 21.0 | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | _ | + | _ | + | | \square | - |
| | Q-3.3.2 | Senior Electrical Engineer | OHL LOT3 | 21.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | L 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | \downarrow | | | - |
| | | Senior Civil Engineer | OHL LOT3 | 21.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | | | S-Engnr Sub Total | 42.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total fo | r Local Consultants (Item2+3) | | 237.0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0 | .0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0.0 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 3.0 3 | 3.0 3.0 | 3.0 3. | 0 3.0 3 | 3.0 3.0 | 3.0 3. | 0 3.0 | 3.0 3.0 | 3.0 3 | 3.0 3.0 | 3.0 3 | .0 3.0 | 3.0 3 | 3.0 3.0 | 3.0 3 | 3.0 3.0 | 3.0 3 | 3.0 3.0 |) 3.0 (| J.0 0.C |) 0.0 (| 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | ` | | | | 1.0 | | 5.5 | | |

Note: Calendar months stipulated in the above is just reference only.

Source: JICA Survey Team

Figure 6.6.1 Consultant MM Plan

| <u>1. E</u> ngin | neer Fee | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|
| No. | Descriptions | MM, other | Rate(Yen) | Unit | Price (Yen) |
| 1.1 | Engineer Fee Foreign Consultant (Japanese) | | | | |
| | 1.1 Project Manger | 46 | 2,500,000 | ММ | 115,000,000 |
| | | | 0 500 005 | | F7F 000 055 |
| | 1.2 Senior Engineer | 230 | 2,500,000 | ММ | 575,000,000 |
| | MM Sub Smm | 276 | | | |
| 1.0 | | | | | |
| 1.2 | Local Site Consultant (Baghdad) (1) Senior Engineer / Leader | 44 | 1 560 000 | мм | 68 640 000 |
| | | | 1,000,000 | | 0 |
| | (2) Senior Engineer | 380 | 1,020,000 | MM | 387,600,000 |
| | (3) Engineer | 44 | 1 020 000 | ММ | 44 880 000 |
| | | | 1,020,000 | | 11,000,000 |
| 1.3.1 | Local Site Consultant (Site LOT1) | | 1 500 000 | | 15 0 10 000 |
| | (1) Site Manager | 29 | 1,560,000 | MIM | 45,240,000 |
| | (2) Senior Engineer | 58 | 1,020,000 | MM | 59,160,000 |
| 1.0.0 | Level Site Organitary (Site LOT0) | | | | |
| 1.3.2 | (1) Site Manager | 29 | 1.560.000 | мм | 45.240.000 |
| | | | 1,000,000 | | 10,2 10,000 |
| | (2) Senior Engineer | 58 | 1,020,000 | MM | 59,160,000 |
| 1.3.3 | Local Site Consultant (Site LOT3) | | | | |
| | (1) Site Manager | 21 | 1,560,000 | ММ | 32,760,000 |
| | | 40 | 1 000 000 | 104 | 40.040.000 |
| | (2) Senior Engineer | 42 | 1,020,000 | MIM | 42,840,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | MM Sub Smm (1.1 + 1.2) | | | | |
| | | 705 | | | |
| | (A) Sub Summ (1.1 : 1.3) | | | | 1,475,520,000 |
| - | | | | | |
| 2. Direc | t Cost Direct Cost (Office rept. Air fee. Accomposition etc.: (A)*25%) | | | | 516 422 000 |
| 2.1 | Air Fee | | | | 510,432,000 |
| | 2.1.1 Iraq-Japan for Japanese | 72 | 900,000 | trip | 64,800,000 |
| | 6persons * 3trips / year.for 4years | | | | |
| 2.2 | Accommodation | | | | |
| | 2.2.1 In Iraq for Japanese | 24 | 10,000,000 | Person-years | 240,000,000 |
| | Breakdown) | | | | |
| | SE 230 MM/12 = 20 | | | | |
| | Total : 24 | | | | |
| 2.3 | Transpotation | | | | |
| 2.0 | 2.3.1 In Iraq | | | | |
| | (1) Initial cost | 1 | 3,000,000 | cars | 3,000,000 |
| | (2) Running cost | 26 | 500 000 | car-months | 13 000 000 |
| | 1 cars * 26 months | | | our monaio | 10,000,000 |
| | 05 | | | | |
| 2.4 | 2.4.1 Office Rept for Project Management in Irag Baghdad | 46 | 2 000 000 | months | 92 000 000 |
| | Office furniture, IT equipment, the other | | _,, | | |
| | 242 Office Post for Project Management in the Deal 1 | 40 | 00.000 | manth - | 2 600 000 |
| | 2.4.2 Onice Rent for Project Management in Iraq Baghdad Project coordinator. Secretary. Receptionist, the other | 46 | 80,000 | months | 3,680,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | 2.4.3 Office Rent for the project Site in Iraq | 4 | 1 000 000 | months | 1 000 000 |
| | (2) Running cost | 29 | 80,000 | months | 2,320,000 |
| | | | | | , , , = = |
| | 2.4.4 Office Staff for the Site office in Iraq | 29 | 80,000 | months | 2,320,000 |
| | Admin, Georetary, Onice Doy, the Other | | | | |
| 2.5 | Communication and Document Delivery Cost | | | | |
| | I elephone bill, fax, mobile, internet access, other | 75 | 336,000 | months | 25,200,000 |
| | | | | | |
| 2.6 | Security cost in Iraq | 4 | 100,000,000 | years | 400,000,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | (B) Sub Summ (2.1 : 2.6) | | | | 847,320,000 |
| Total C | iost . | | | | 2 322 840 000 |
| i otar O | | I | L | | Say 2,300,000,000 |
| | | | | | Say 23M US\$ |

Table 6.6.6 Consulting Services Cost (Draft)

Source: JICA Survey Team, Note; Foreign currency is generally applied for international contract in Iraq.

6.6.6 Total Project Costs

Table 6.6.7 shows the summary of the total costs for the Project.

| Table 6.6.7 Total Proj | ect Costs |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Items | Total (US\$) |
| Substations Construction Cost (2 SS) | 180,000,000 |
| Transmission Line Construction Cost | 53,000,000 |
| Sub | |
| Land Acquisition Cost | 0 |
| Environment Monitoring Cost | 0 |
| Consulting Service Cost | 23,000,000 |
| Ground Total | 256,000,000 |

Source: JICA Survey Team

Note: 100Yen/USD

Foreign currency is generally applied for international contract in Iraq.

Chapter 7

Operation, Management

and Maintenance System for RMEK

Chapter 7 Operation, Management and Maintenance System for RMEK

7.1 Financial Conditions of RMEK

7.1.1 Financial Structure of RMEK

As the above mentioned, RMEK revenue in 2012 was only 24 % to operating and management cost (O/M cost). The remains with 76 % to the total cost are covered by subsidy from the Government. The details of the subsidy are mainly O/M cost and fuel cost expenses, and capital funds for investment are also supplied by the Government. As RMEK is not independent company and it is one of the ministries in Kurdistan, RMEK does not make their financial statements regarding power business.

When looking at the RMEK data on power business, the average power tariff and unit cost in 2012 was 3.8 kWh (44.8 ID/kWh), the subsidy was 11.9 kWh (141.4 ID/kWh) and the cost was15.7 kWh (186.2 ID/kWh). The above data are calculated by revenue and cost data of RMEK in 2012, other management cost accounts data such as payable interests, repayment of long term loan and profit are not available to collect.

| Sector | Sales & | Revenue | | Sub | sidy | C | ost |
|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | Billed | ID billion | US\$ million | ID billion | US\$ million | ID billion | US\$ million |
| Domestic | Sales | 290 | 244 | 1,085 | 921 | 1,375 | 1,164 |
| | Billed | 203 | 170 | | | | |
| Commercial | Sales | 41 | 35 | 96 | 80 | 137 | 115 |
| | Billed | 26 | 22 | | | | |
| Industry | Sales | 66 | 55 | 141 | 117 | 207 | 173 |
| | Billed | 23 | 20 | | | | |
| Agriculture | Sales | 6 | 5 | 33 | 27 | 39 | 33 |
| | Billed | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| Governmenta | Sales | 78 | 65 | 166 | 138 | 243 | 203 |
| | Billed | 67 | 56 | | | | |
| Total | Sales | 482 | 404 | 1,521 | 1,284 | 2,002 | 1,687 |
| | Billed | 327 | 274 | | | | |
| Unit / Kwh | Domestic | 39.2 | 3.3 | 146.3 | 12.4 | 185.4 | 15.7 |
| | Commercial | 56.9 | 4.8 | 132.6 | 11.1 | 189.4 | 15.9 |
| | Industry | 59.9 | 5.0 | 127.6 | 10.6 | 187.5 | 15.6 |
| | Agriculture | 30.0 | 2.5 | 157.5 | 13.1 | 187.5 | 15.6 |
| | Government | 60.0 | 5.0 | 127.5 | 10.6 | 187.5 | 15.6 |
| | Average | 44.8 | 3.8 | 141.4 | 11.9 | 186.2 | 15.7 |

Table 7.1.1 Revenue, subsidy and cost of RMEK (Actual data in 2012)

Source: Original data in the table are RMEK

7.1.2 Calculation for Power Tariffs as Power Business of RMEK

Under the current data collected, the average power tariffs are simulated when RMEK becoming an independent company.

(1) Power sales and generation

The following table shows power sales and generation. The sales are the forecasting values of JICA Survey Team, power sales are calculated with "MW \times 8,760 hours" and the generation is "Sales + 15% to sales for loss". And the power sales include social loss and technical loss.

| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | |
|-------------|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Power sales | MW | 1,833 | 2,300 | 2,880 | 3,600 | 4,180 | 4,850 | 5,360 | 5,920 | 6,540 | |
| | GWh | 16,057 | 20,148 | 25,229 | 31,536 | 36,617 | 42,486 | 46,954 | 51,859 | 57,290 | |
| Generation | GWh | 18,891 | 23,704 | 29,681 | 37,101 | 43,079 | 49,984 | 55,240 | 61,011 | 67,400 | |

Table 7.1.2 Prediction for Power sale and Generation of RMEK

Source: JICA Survey Team

(2) Investment and Long term loan repayment plan

The following table shows RMEK investments for transmission and distribution facilities. The investment trends are as the following table. The investments from 2004 to 2012 are actual values, and the investments forecasted after 2013 are calculated with multiplied by GDP growth rates.

| | Investment | Accumlative | Balance | | | | | | | | | |
|------|------------|-------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | ID million | ID million | ID million | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| 2004 | 16,635 | 16,635 | 15,803 | 832 | 832 | 832 | 832 | 832 | 832 | 832 | 832 | 832 |
| 2005 | 10,326 | 26,961 | 25,613 | 516 | 516 | 516 | 516 | 516 | 516 | 516 | 516 | 516 |
| 2006 | 163,846 | 190,807 | 181,267 | 8,192 | 8,192 | 8,192 | 8,192 | 8,192 | 8,192 | 8,192 | 8,192 | 8,192 |
| 2007 | 396,733 | 587,540 | 558,163 | 19,837 | 19,837 | 19,837 | 19,837 | 19,837 | 19,837 | 19,837 | 19,837 | 19,837 |
| 2008 | 277,061 | 864,601 | 821,371 | 13,853 | 13,853 | 13,853 | 13,853 | 13,853 | 13,853 | 13,853 | 13,853 | 13,853 |
| 2009 | 662,377 | 1,526,978 | 1,450,629 | 33,119 | 33,119 | 33,119 | 33,119 | 33,119 | 33,119 | 33,119 | 33,119 | 33,119 |
| 2010 | 754,557 | 2,281,535 | 2,167,458 | 37,728 | 37,728 | 37,728 | 37,728 | 37,728 | 37,728 | 37,728 | 37,728 | 37,728 |
| 2011 | 643,560 | 2,925,095 | 2,778,840 | 32,178 | 32,178 | 32,178 | 32,178 | 32,178 | 32,178 | 32,178 | 32,178 | 32,178 |
| 2012 | 863,246 | 3,788,341 | 3,598,924 | 43,162 | 43,162 | 43,162 | 43,162 | 43,162 | 43,162 | 43,162 | 43,162 | 43,162 |
| 2013 | 932,306 | 4,720,647 | 4,484,614 | | 46,615 | 46,615 | 46,615 | 46,615 | 46,615 | 46,615 | 46,615 | 46,615 |
| 2014 | 1,006,890 | 5,727,537 | 5,441,160 | | | 50,345 | 50,345 | 50,345 | 50,345 | 50,345 | 50,345 | 50,345 |
| 2015 | 1,087,441 | 6,814,978 | 6,474,229 | | | | 54,372 | 54,372 | 54,372 | 54,372 | 54,372 | 54,372 |
| 2016 | 1,174,437 | 7,989,415 | 7,589,944 | | | | | 58,722 | 58,722 | 58,722 | 58,722 | 58,722 |
| 2017 | 1,268,392 | 9,257,806 | 8,794,916 | | | | | | 63,420 | 63,420 | 63,420 | 63,420 |
| 2018 | 1,357,179 | 10,614,985 | 10,084,236 | | | | | | | 67,859 | 67,859 | 67,859 |
| 2019 | 1,452,182 | 12,067,167 | 11,463,809 | | | | | | | | 72,609 | 72,609 |
| 2020 | 1,553,834 | 13,621,001 | 12,939,951 | | | | | | | | | 77,692 |
| | | | Repayment | 189,417 | 236,032 | 286,377 | 340,749 | 399,471 | 462,890 | 530,749 | 603,358 | 681,050 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 7.1.3 Investment and long term loan plan

Source: Investments during 2004 ~2012 are RMEK data, and future investments are estimated by JICA Survey Team.

In the analysis, it is assumed that the investment capital funds are applied by long term loan, and the repayment term is 15 year. Therefore, the annual repayment of the long term loan is one fifteenth of the loan. The "Repayment" column in the following table shows repayment of the long term loan every year, and the repayment plan in the table starts from 2004. Although long term loan before 2003 exists in RMEK, the loans are omitted in the analysis.

(3) Factors of power tariff calculation

As the factors required at time of calculating power tariffs, GDP growth rates, investments, long term loan balance, payable interest of loan term loan, required return on asset and power generation efficiency and so on are required. The details are as follow;

- a) GDP growth rates are 7 %~8 % per year and it is used for calculating the required investment.
- b) The required investments are used for building generation, transmission, distribution and substation facilities.
- c) The payable interests are used for repayment of long term loan balance.
- d) Return on asset (ROA) is set with 10 % to the total assets. The asset values are applied by the same to long term loan balance.
- e) The improvement of power efficiency shows fuel consumption efficiency in company with introducing gas combined cycles. And the average power efficiencies in RMEK are improved with 1 % per year.

f) Fuel and wage costs are increased by the growth rate of "Power generation growth * Power efficiency index". Power efficiency index is accumulation of the power efficiency rate (2012=100).

| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| GDP growth rate | % | 6.7 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Investment | ID billion | 863 | 932 | 1,007 | 1,087 | 1,174 | 1,268 | 1,357 | 1,452 | 1,554 |
| LTL Balance | ID billion | 3,599 | 4,485 | 5,441 | 6,474 | 7,590 | 8,795 | 10,084 | 11,464 | 12,940 |
| Interest rate | 7% | 7% | 7% | 7% | 7% | 7% | 7% | 7% | 7% | 7% |
| ROA | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% |
| Power efficiency | 2012=100 | 100 | 99 | 98 | 97 | 96 | 95 | 94 | 93 | 92 |

Table 7.1.4 Factors for calculating power tariff

Note: LTL = *long term loan Power efficiency means power efficiency index Source: JICA Survey Team*

(4) Cash flow and power tariff

As contents of "Cash in", it is revenue of power sales, the factors as "Cash out" are fuel cost, wages payable interest, repayment of long term loan (cash flow management base) and profit before tax. As the purpose of the analysis is to calculate power tariffs for RMEK to keep suitable profits as independent company, the factor arrangement of the cash flow is defined as the above. The discount rate is given by 10% and it is applied for cash in and cash out.

Under the above definition, if the power tariffs are calculated with taking account of balancing cash in and cash out, the results are as the followings.

- a) The power tariff in 2012 is 14.9 ¢ /kWh (175 ID/kWh), and it decreases gradually to 14.1 ¢ /kWh (165 ID/kWh) in 2020.
- b) The average power tariff during the calculation period is 14.3 ¢ /kWh (167 ID/kWh). The average fuel and wage costs in the same period is 10.2 ¢ /kWh (119 ID/kWh).

| | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
|----------|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Cash out | Fuel & Wage cost | ID Billion | 2002 | 2,487 | 3,083 | 3,814 | 4,383 | 5,032 | 5,503 | 6,013 | 6,572 |
| | Interest | ID Billion | 252 | 314 | 381 | 453 | 531 | 616 | 706 | 802 | 906 |
| | Repayment | ID Billion | 189 | 236 | 286 | 341 | 399 | 463 | 531 | 603 | 681 |
| | Profit | ID Billion | 360 | 448 | 544 | 647 | 759 | 879 | 1,008 | 1,146 | 1,294 |
| | Total | ID Billion | 2,803 | 3,485 | 4,294 | 5,255 | 6,073 | 6,990 | 7,748 | 8,565 | 9,452 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chash in | Tariff | ID /kWh | 175 | 173 | 170 | 167 | 166 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| | Tariff | c ∕kWh | 14.9 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.1 |
| | Revenue | ID Billion | 2,803 | 3,485 | 4,294 | 5,255 | 6,073 | 6,990 | 7,748 | 8,565 | 9,452 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Present | DCF | 10% | 100 | 90.9 | 82.6 | 75.1 | 68.3 | 62.1 | 56.4 | 51.3 | 46.7 |
| value | Power sales | GWh | 16,057 | 18,316 | 20,850 | 23,693 | 25,010 | 26,380 | 26,504 | 26,612 | 26,726 |
| | Revenue | ID Billion | 2,803 | 3,169 | 3,549 | 3,948 | 4,148 | 4,340 | 4,374 | 4,395 | 4,410 |
| | Tariff | ID /kWh | 167 | | | | | | | | |
| | | c∕ kWh | 14.3 | | | | | | | | |
| | Fuel & wage cost | ID Billion | 2002 | 2261 | 2548 | 2865 | 2993 | 3125 | 3106 | 3086 | 3066 |
| | Cost | ID /kWh | 119 | | | | | | | | |
| | | c∕ kWh | 10.2 | | | | | | | | |

Table 7.1.5 Cash flow and power tariff

Source: JICA Survey Team

(5) Financial condition analysis for RMEK

The return on sales is 12.8 % in 2012 under the condition of return on assets with 10 %, after that, it gradually increases to 13.7 % in 2020. As an additional assumption, the profit used for return on sales is "Profit before tax", not "Profit after tax". When using profit after tax for return on sales, it is 11.1 % in 2012 and 11.9 % in 2020.

| | Unit | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|----------------------------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Profit on sales | % | 12.8 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 13.7 |
| Variable profit ratio | % | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.2 | 27.4 | 27.8 | 28.0 | 29.0 | 29.8 | 30.5 |
| Investment per Added Value | % | 71.8 | 62.3 | 55.1 | 49.3 | 45.8 | 42.8 | 40.6 | 38.7 | 37.0 |
| Profit per kWh | ID / kWh | 22.4 | 22.3 | 21.6 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 21.5 | 22.1 | 22.6 |
| Profit per kWh | c / kWh | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 |

Table 7.1.6 Profit analysis of RMEK

Source: JICA Survey Team

The marginal profit ratio defined by "(Sales – Variable cost) / Sales " in 2012 is 28.6 % and it is 30.5 % in 2020. At the same time, the investment per value added ratio (Investment / Value added (= "Sales – Variable costs") is 71.8 % in 2012, after that, it becomes 37.0 % in 2020. Therefore, as the results it cannot say that RMEK can make more investment than the current assumption. (Generally, it is said that the maximum investment per value added ratio is 40 %.)

The profit before tax per kWh is 1.9 ¢ /kWh (22.4 ID/kWh), and it is 1.9 ¢ /kWh (22.6 ID/kWh). The profits before tax per kWh do not change during the calculation period.

As the above mentioned, the power tariffs have to be set as the following table for RMEK to implement business activities as independent company.

When looking at the power tariff system as resulting the analysis, it is required that the average power tariff is 14.3 /kWh (167 ID/kWh). For keeping the average tariff, the power tariff in 2012 has to be improved to 14.9 /kWh (175 ID/kWh) and it is gradually decreased to 14.1 /kWh (166 ID/kWh) in 2020. However, as the current average power tariff is around 4 /kWh (48 ID/kWh), the average power tariff in the analysis is around 3.5 times to the current average power tariff.

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| ID /kWh | 175 | 173 | 170 | 167 | 166 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| c ∕kWh | 14.9 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.1 |

Table 7.1.7 Future power tariffs of RMEK as independent company

Source: JICA Survey Team

7.2 Operation and Maintenance Management System

7.2.1 Organization of RMEK;

The following Figure 7.2.1 shows organization chart of RMEK;



Source: JICA Survey Team

Figure 7.2.1 Organization Chart of RMEK

7.2.2 Directorate to be involved in the Project;

The following Table 7.2.1 shows Directorates which involve in this project for each stage;

| | Table 7.2.1 Directorate to be in | volvcu |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Stage | Involved Directorate | Remarks |
| PQ and Tender | General Directorate of Planning | Up to the signing of Contract |
| stage | and General Directorate of | |
| | Transmission ((A) & (B)) | |
| Project | General Directorate of | After signing of Contract |
| Implementation | Transmission (B) | |
| Operation and | General Directorate of | After Completion of the |
| maintenance | Transmission (B) | Construction (Taking Over) |

Table 7.2.1 Directorate to be involved

The General Directorate of Control and Communication (C) will supervise the communication system in

all KRG area.

General Directorates of Electricity in Duhok Governorate (D), Erbil Governorate (E) and Sulaymani (F) are responsible for the system voltage up to 33kV. These Directorates will support Land/Route issues of each Governorate upon the request of General Directorate of Transmission.

Two Committees are involved during evaluation stages;

- a) Opening Committee; This committee mostly works during Prequalification (PQ) stage and the member is selected by Minister. Almost every 6 months, the members will be changed. General Director or Minister Adviser chairs this committee.
- b) Evaluation Committee; The Evaluation Committee supervises both technical and commercial evaluation. The technical evaluation committee will be established during Tender Stage. General Director or Minister Adviser chairs this committee.

Chapter 8

Project Evaluation

Chapter 8 Project Evaluation

8.1 Financial Analysis

8.1.1 Principles of Financial Appraisal

(1) Project appraisal

- a) Project criteria are generally conducted as financial analysis based on "Direct accounting principles". In particular, when project feasibility is expressed in a manner that is dependent on fund procurement, rather than estimating the inherent profitability of the project, sometimes the project feasibility is determined by the quality of fund procurement. However, project feasibility is essentially independent of fund procurement, and the project feasibility to be independent of fund procurement should be used to determine the profitability of a project. As achieving the purposes, the internal rate of return method that is based on the present value has been prepared and is used widely today.
- b) However, when concerning projects for infrastructure construction or energy development to have an extremely strong publicity, the internal rate of return is often low, and such projects cannot be realized without support from governments and international development agencies. The phenomenon is particularly true of projects in newly emerging nations, middle and developing countries.
- c) For a long time, the FIRR criterion was set at more than 15 % throughout the world. In this case, assuming an interest rate of 7 % on a loan with 70 % of total investment. Such an investment return was previously standard practice for private sector enterprises, however, the situation has undergone major change after the Lehman shock, global recession, fiscal worsening of the EU, worldwide deflation and low interest policies, etc. In other words, the profitability can still be secured even when the FIRR is low due to the low interest rates applied to fund procurement now.
- d) Considering the current conditions of investors and financing institutions in Middle and Developing countries, the interest rate of ODA projects is in the range of $1\sim3$ %, the FIRR in state-owned or public project operators is deemed to be in the range of $2\sim6$ %.

| Minimum FIRR | =1.0*Interest + (Interest* $1/2$) | 10.5% |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Standard FIRR | =1.5* Interest + (Interest $*1/2$) | 14.0% |
| Maximum FIRR | =2.0 *Interest + (Interest $*1/2$) | 17.5% |

Table 8.1.1 Expected FIRR (Interest rate =7%) at private sector

(2) Effective interest rate

- a) Borrowing rates in middle developed and developing countries are generally higher than in developed countries. For example, when inflation percentage of a country is 5 % and interest rate is 12 %, the interest rate is determined in a manner that includes the inflation rate. This is referred to as the nominal interest rate, however, inflation factors are generally excluded in financial analysis, the effective interest rate (7 % = 12% 5%) that doesn't include inflation should be used.
- b) Until now the effective interest rate in middle developed and developing countries has usually been around 7 %, while in developed countries with smaller demand for funds, it has been around 5 %. However, the present effective interest rate in middle developed and developing countries is between 7~10 %.

8.1.2 Preconditions of Financial Analysis

(1) Discount rate

Discount rate is one of measures to convert the future values to present values. The discount rate becomes higher in proportion with project risk and interest rate in the targeted country. When deciding the discount rate, usually loan and deposit interest rates are referred in the targeted country. Iraq and Kurdish effective interest rate has been 11.5 % from 2007 to 2012. However, the effective interest rates from 2010 to 2012 are around 10 %. In middle income countries, Iraqi and Kurdish effective lending rate with 10 % is almost equivalent to other middle income countries. Therefore, Iraqi and Kurdish discount rate is set with **10 %** though including project risk. The following table shows loan and deposit interest rates in Iraq and Kurdistan.

| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Average |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Discount rate | 20.00 | 16.75 | 8.83 | 6.25 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 10.7 |
| Deposit rate | 10.43 | 10.54 | 7.82 | 6.06 | 5.91 | 6.88 | 7.6 |
| Lending rate | 18.78 | 19.22 | 16.16 | 14.35 | 14.13 | 13.59 | 15.8 |
| Inflation rate | 14.7 | 27.1 | -15.4 | 2.1 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 4.3 |
| Effective deposit rate | 6.1 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 0.1 |
| Effective lending rate | 14.5 | 14.9 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 9.3 | 11.5 |

Table 8.1.2 Deposit and lending rates in Iraq and Kurdistan

Note: Effective deposit Effective lending rate is defined by "Nominal rate – inflation rate" Source: International Financial Statistics

Table 8.1.3 Discount rates of International development institutes

| Institutes | Values |
|---|----------------------|
| International Development Bank rate + Risk (Interest/2) | 10.5 % (7.0%+3.5%) |
| Commercial bank interest rate + Risk (Interest /2) | 12.7 % (8.45%+4.22%) |
| Overseas Development Administration announces | 10.0 % |

Note: Double of interest rates are set for hedging risk for each indicator.

(2) Depreciation conditions

Depreciation conditions for the project such as calculation period, depreciation period, residual value rate and depreciation method are as the following table.

| Items | Equipment | Values |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Calculation period | Transmission line | 30 years after operation |
| | Substation | 30 years after operation |
| Depreciation period | Transmission line | 25 years after operation |
| | Substation | 20 years after operation |
| Residual value rate | Substation and Transmission line | 5% of investment |
| Depreciation method | Substation and Transmission line | Straight line method |

 Table 8.1.4 Calculation and Depreciation conditions

Source: JICA Survey Team

(3) Long term loan

When financed by JICA, the following loan term loan conditions are applied.

Table 8.1.5 Conditions of long term loan (JICA loan)

| Items | Tentative values |
|--------------------------|---|
| Classification of income | Middle income country class (1,916USD~3,975USD) |
| Loan condition | Standard interest rate |

| Interest rate | 1.4 % |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Repayment period | 25 years |
| Grace period | 7 years |
| Procurement condition | Un-tight |

Source: JICA HP

(4) Short term loan (STL)

There is time delay between power generating time and collecting power sale money. The implementation entities like RMEK has to borrow short term loan for filling the capital shortage due to the time difference. It is called "Working capital" in accounting aspect and the implementation entities have to pay the interest of the short term loan, if borrow the short term loan from local banks. Regarding transmission and substation projects, the interest cost of the short term loan has to be owned as well as power generation sector and power distribution sector.

| Table 8.1.6 Short term loan for working capital | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|--|--|
| Items Calculation methods Values | | | | |
| Required W/C | Calculate receivable additional SS&TL costs | One month sight | | |
| STL | Make STL for W/C and business deficits | | | |
| Interest rate of STL | Effective lending rate of private banks | 10.0% | | |

Note: STL: Short term loan W/C: Working capital

8.1.3 Tax system of Iraq and Kurdistan

Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO) who is Japanese governmental organizations and Deloitte who is a certified public accountant firm have surveyed Iraq tax system in recent year. The main contents are as follows;

(1) JETRO survey

According to "Iraq Accounting Finance & Tax Overview" surveyed by JETRO in March 2011, the tax system is as follows;

- a) The system requires that a payer of payable interest to foreign companies should make withholding tax with 15%.
- b) It is required that public and private companies make withholding tax with maximum 10% to employee's salaries. (Final tax rates are fixed by their incomes)
- c) Import tax is levied to all kinds of imported goods as Iraq recovery tax. (Permanent import tax is defined at the time of lifting recovery tax.)
- d) In the agreement between Japan and Iraq governments, Japanese companies for Iraq power sector can obtain preferential tax system. (the details are not clear)
- e) The tax system of Iraq is compliant with Iraqi tax system.

(2) Deloitte survey

According to "Middle East Tax Handbook 2011" published by Deloitte in April 2011, Iraqi tax system is as follows;

- a) Corporate tax is 15% (Capital gains is proceeded in net profit of a corporate.)
- b) Losses can be carried over next 5 years. However, the losses can be applied up to the maximum half of the incomes in the years that corporate tax is levied.
- c) Receiver of dividend is not levied.
- d) No Surtax
- e) Regarding payable interest abroad, a payer has to make withholding tax with 15% to the interest.
- f) No tax to intelligence property.
- g) As withholding tax of social security, employees expense 5 % from their salaries, and the corporates expense 12% to the employees' salaries.
- h) Exist fixed asset tax

- i) Stamp tax for the contract is 1% to the contract amounts.
- j) Land transfer tax paid by transmitter is 0-6% to the transfer amounts.
- k) No added value tax

(3) Tax methods and tax rate

After referring the above surveys, the tax calculation methods and tax rates are as the following table.

| Table 6.1.7 Tax methods and tax fate of frag & Kuluistan | | | | |
|--|-----------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Items | Tax Rates | Target values | | |
| Payable interest abroad | 15% | Payable interest | | |
| Import tax | 5% | Import value | | |
| Corporate tax | 15% | Profit before tax | | |
| Fixed asset tax | Small | Booked value of Fixed assets | | |
| Stamp tax to private | 1 % | Contract price | | |
| Stamp tax to Government | 0.2 % | Contract price | | |
| Land transfer tax | 0-6% | Transfer price | | |
| Added value tax | None | | | |
| Local tax | 1 – 2 % | Imported goods | | |
| Interest rate of STL | 10 % | Effective lending rate | | |
| JICA loan rate | 1.4% | JICA loan | | |

Table 8.1.7 Tax methods and tax rate of Iraq & Kurdistan

Source: JICA Survey Team after referring Deloitte & JETRO surveys

In case of RMEK, the all taxes to new investments from Japan are exempted. however, the import tax is levied to the imported parts in the purpose of maintenance.

8.1.4 Methodologies for Financial Analysis

(1) Substation and Transmission line (SS&TL) tariff and cost calculation

The methodology for calculating SS&TL tariff and cost is as the following table. By comparing SS&TL tariff and cost when power consumers use SS&TL system, Financial Internal Rate of Return (FIRR) for the project is calculated.

| Items | Values |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| • SS&TL tariff is calculated by the estimated power tariff | SS tariff = Power tariff $*15\% / 2$ |
| | TL tariff=Power tariff * 15% / 2 |
| • SS&TL yearly cost are calculated with Wages, depreciation, | |
| O/M cost, interest and administration cost | |
| • SS&TL unit cost are calculated from the SS&TL yearly cost | |
| divided by the transmitted power | |
| • FIRR are calculated under the SS&TL tariff and costs. | Calculation period: 30 years |
| | |

| Table 8.1.8 Substation and Transmission | n line tariff and cost calculation |
|---|------------------------------------|
|---|------------------------------------|

FIRR: Financial Internal Rate of Return

(2) Income statements

The cost calculation and income statements are described as the account items in following table.

- a) Sales amount is defined by "Transmission volume * Usage charge"
- b) The total costs include direct costs for transmissions and substations (maintenance and labor costs), management cost (Depreciation, Interest, Fixed asset tax and Administration costs).

| Items | Accounts | Expressions | Values |
|-------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Sales | a) Usage charge | Power tariff * SS&TL share % | SS=15%/2, |
| | | | TL=15%/2 |
| | b) SS loads | From 30% to 70% | |
| | c) Sale amounts | SS access * Usage charge | |
| SS&TL costs | d) Maintenance costs | Estimate by the team | |
| | e) Labor costs | Estimate by the team | |
| | f) Depreciation | Straight line depreciation after the start | |
| | g) Administration costs | (e)*α% | α%=20% |
| | h) Interest of LTL | Calculated by loan conditions | |
| | i) Interest of STL | Sale /12 * interest rate | Interest rate = 10% |
| | j) Cost total | d+e+f+g+h+i | |
| Profit | k) Profit before tax | Sales (c) - Cost total (j) | |
| | 1) Corporate tax | Profit before tax(k) * Corporate tax rate | Corporate tax= 0% |
| | m) Profit after tax | Profit before tax (k)– Corporate tax(l) | |

Table 8.1.9 Income statements

(3) FIRR sheet

The FIRR table for calculating Benefits, Capex (total of capital investment), Opex costs (total of maintenance cost), Net benefits and FIRR is as follows;

| Items | Contents |
|--------------|---|
| Benefits | Sales |
| Capex | Investment |
| Opex | Wages + O/M expenses + Import tax + Administration cost |
| Net Benefits | Benefits- Capex - Opex |
| FIRR | f(Net Benefits) |

Note: Capex is the total of capital investment, Opex is the total of maintenance cost

(4) EIRR

The EIRR table for calculating Benefits, Capex, Opex costs, Net benefits and EIRR is as follows;

| | able 8.1.11 EIKK calculation table |
|--------------|--|
| Items | Contents |
| Benefits | Reduction of small diesel power generation |
| | GDP increase due to national labor productivity up |
| Capex | Investment – Tax and duties |
| Opex | Wage total – Unskilled labor wages |
| | O/M expenses |
| | Administration cost |
| Net Benefits | Benefits – Capex – Opex |
| EIRR | F (Net Benefits) |
| | |

| Table 8.1.11 EIRR calculation ta | ble |
|----------------------------------|-----|
|----------------------------------|-----|

11.07

8.2 Results of Economic & Financial Analysis

8.2.1 Project contents and evaluation

The substations and transmission lines in the project are separated in the following three LOTs. The substations and transmission lines are located in Erbil and Sulaymani.

T11 001D · /

| | Table 8.2.1 Project contents and LOT | | |
|------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| | Governorates | Contents | |
| LOT1 | Erbil | Gomaspan 400kV GIS Substation Construction Project (250MW*2) | |
| LOT2 | Sulaymani | Arbat 400kV GIS substation Construction Project (250MW*2) | |
| LOT3 | Sulaymani | 400kV Transmission Line between Sulaymani and Arbat substations | |

The economic and financial analysis is studied for the above LOTs and the two combinations of the LOTs. The evaluation classifications for economic and financial analysis are as follows;

| Classification | Comments |
|--------------------------|--|
| LOT1 (Gomaspan SS) | As LOT1 configuration is the same as LOT2, the economic |
| LOT2 (Arbat SS) | financial analysis of LOT1 and LOT2 are the same values. |
| LOT3 (Transmission Line) | The length of the transmission line is 70 km. As the line is built for |
| | transmitting power from Sulaymani to Arbat, it can consider that |
| | LOT2 and LOT3 are unified as a project. |
| LOT2+LOT3 | It is the economic and financial analysis when LOT2 and LOT3 are |
| | combined as a project. |
| LOT1+LOT2+LOT3 | It is the economic and financial analysis when LOT1, LOT2 and |
| | LOT3 are totaled. |

Table 8.2.2 Evaluation classification for economic and financial analysis

8.2.2 Results of Financial Analysis

(1) Investments

The investments of LOT1 and LT2 are as the following table. The investment values between the two are same. The main investment items are substation equipment, tax and duties (Japanese companies are exempted), cost escalation (include pre-operation interest) and consultant service cost

| Table 0.2.5 Invest | Table 8.2.3 Investments for LOTT and LOTZ | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------|-------------|--|
| Items | Value | First year | Second year | |
| a. Substation | 75,000 | 37,500 | 37,500 | |
| b. Contingency | 7,500 | 3,750 | 3,750 | |
| c. Cost escalation | 7,500 | 3,750 | 3,750 | |
| d. Environmental monitoring | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| e. Land compensation | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| f. Construction cost total | 90,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | |
| g. Consultant service | 9,500 | 4,750 | 4,750 | |
| h. Investment total | 99,500 | 49,750 | 49,750 | |

| Fable 8.2.3 | Investments | for LOT1 | and LOT2 |
|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| | | | |

Note: Construction period is 18 months, first year includes 9 months and second year also 9 months

Note: Substation includes Insurance for transport, Site work cost, Insurance for site work. Contingency and Cost escalation are 10 % to substation.

The investment of LOT3 fot transmission line is as follows:

| Items | Value | First year | Second year |
|-----------------------------|--------|------------|-------------|
| a. Substation | 44,167 | 22,084 | 22,084 |
| b. Contingency | 4,416 | 2,208 | 2,208 |
| c. Cost escalation | 4,416 | 2,208 | 2,208 |
| d. Environmental monitoring | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| e. Land compensation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f. Construction cost total | 53,000 | 26,500 | 26,500 |
| g. Consultant service | 4,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| h. Investment total | 57,000 | 28,500 | 28,500 |

Table 8.2.4 Investment for LOT3

Note: Transmission line includes Insurance for transport, Site work cost, Insurance for site work. Escalation factor and Contingency are 10 % to Transmission line cost.

(2) Partial tariff

The power tariff consists of generation cost, transmission cost, distribution cost and profit. Furthermore, transmission cost is separated to substations and transmission lines. In the analysis, substation tariff and transmission tariff are required for calculating sales income and cost. The substation tariff and transmission tariffs are estimated by the shares of the investments in power sector. The tariffs are called "Partial tariff". The partial tariffs for the substation and transmission line are calculated with based on the average power tariff (15.7 cent / kWh, include subsidy) of RMEK in 2012.

According to "Greater Mekong Sub-region Northern Power Transmission Project by ADB" and "System Grid Master Plan of Lao by JICA", when dividing power tariff to generation cost, transmission cost and distribution cost, the power tariff of Iraq and Kurdistan mainly supplied by fired power generators are separated as the following table, even though the contributions of the power tariff are little bit difference in countries.

| | Contribution | ID / kWh (Actual in 2012) | ¢ / kWh (Actual in 2012) |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Power tariff | 100% | 186.2 | 15.7 |
| Generation | 70% | 130.3 | 11.0 |
| Transmission | 15% | 27.9 | 2.35 |
| Distribution | 15% | 27.9 | 2.35 |

Table 8.2.5 Partial tariffs of Generation, Transmission and Distribution

Furthermore the transmission cost is divided to substation cost and transmission line cost. The contribution is given by the ratio between the investments of the two. In case of Kurdistan, the contribution of Substation : Transmission line is 1 : 1. The substation cost and transmission cost estimated for the current Kurdish transmission network are as follows;

Table 8.2.6 Substation and Transmission line cost in the whole of Kurdistan

| Cost items | Length & Number | Expression | Construction cost |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Transmission line | Line length: 3,130km | \$0.6 million/4/km*3,130km | \$469 million |
| | (132kV OHL length) | | |
| Substation | 33 stations | \$15 million * 33 | \$495 million |

Note: "\$0.6 million/4/km" is the expression for converting \$0.6 million of 400kV OHL to 132 kV.

"\$15 million / equipment" is a average substation cost in Kurdistan

Source: PSS data at 2013

Under the above conditions, the partial tariffs for LOTs are as the following table. Those are calculated based on the average power tariff (15.7 cent / kWh) in 2012 of RMEK.

| | Table 6.2.7 Tattat tallis by LOT | | | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| LOT | Partial tariff | Expressions | | |
| LOT1 | 1.18 cent/kWh | SS=15.7cent/kWh * 15% /2 | | |
| LOT2 | 1.18 cent/kWh | SS=15.7cent/kWh *15% /2 | | |
| LOT3 | 0.64 cent/kWh | TL=15.7cent/kWh*15%/2 | | |
| | | *Investment ratio of LOT3/LOT2 | | |
| LOT2+LOT3 | 1.82 cent/kWh | SS+TL=1.18 cent/kWh +0.64 cent/kWh | | |
| LOT1+LOT2+LOT3 | 1.50 cent/kWh | Average of LOT1 and (LOT2+LOT3) | | |
| | | =1.18 cent/kWh /2+(1.18 cent/kWh +0.64 cent/kWh)/2 | | |

Table 8.2.7 Partial tariffs by LOT

(3) Income and cost accounts

The economic and financial accounts for substation and transmission line are as the followings. The sales income is calculated by the partial tariff.

| Items | Accounts | Expressions |
|-------|----------|--|
| SS | Sales | Power transmitted * Substation partial tariff |
| | | Power transmitted is 30 % to capacity in the beginning year and the |
| | | maximum becomes 70% by increasing 8%~10% per year. |
| | | Partial tariff : 15.7 cent/kWh * 7.5% (15% / 2) |
| | Cost | Wages, Repair cost, Depreciation, Long and Short term loan |
| | | 20% to Wages as Administration cost of Head quarter |
| | Tax | 5% levied to the imported repair parts |
| | | Asset tax and corporate tax are not levied |
| TL | Sales | Power transmitted * Substation partial tariff |
| | | Power energy transmitted in the line is the same volume as the capacity of |
| | | LOT2. |
| | | Partial tariff : 15.7 cent/kWh * 7.5% (15% * TL investment / SS |
| | | investment |
| | Cost | Wages, Repair cost, Depreciation, Interest of long and short term loan |
| | | 20% to Wages as administration cost of Head quarter |
| | Tax | 5% levied to the imported repair parts |
| | | Asset tax and corporate tax are not levied |

 Table 8.2.8 Expressions for calculating sales income and costs

(4) Escalation factor

The discount rate, exchange rate and escalation factors of wage, oil products price, power tariff and O/M cost are as the following table. The growth rate of wage is half of GDP growth rate, the growth rate of oil products price is the same as crude oil price. The growth rate of power tariff is defined by oil price growth rate * elasticity (0.7). The growth rate of O/M is defined by (Wages*elasticity (0.5) + Oil price * elasticity (0.5))

| Items | Unit | Values |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Wage escalation | % | 3.0% |
| Oil price escalation | % | 2.0% |
| Tariff escalation | % (Labor*0.5) | 1.4% |
| O/M cost escalation | % (Labor *0.5) | 2.5% |
| Discount rate | % | 10.0% |
| Exchange rate ID | ID /USD | 1,170ID/USD |

Table 8.2.9 Escalation Factors

(5) Profit and cost calculation

When calculating under the above conditions, the partial tariff, sales, cost and profit of LOT1, LOT2 and LOT3 are the following tables.

| | Table 8.2.10 FIGHT and Cost of LOTT and LOTZ | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| | Unit | 2019 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2038 |
| Sales | 1000USD | 14,936 | 16,660 | 26,727 | 40,610 | 43,533 | 45,388 |
| Cost | 1000USD | $13,\!454$ | $13,\!588$ | 16,295 | 17,517 | 18,841 | 19,738 |
| Profit | 1000USD | 1,483 | 3,072 | 10,432 | 23,093 | 24,693 | $25,\!649$ |
| (SS Tariff) | Cent/kWh | 1.20 | 1.21 | 1.30 | 1.39 | 1.49 | 1.56 |
| (Unit cost) | Cent/kWh | 1.08 | 0.99 | 0.79 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.68 |

Table 8.2.10 Profit and Cost of LOT1 and LOT2

Table 8.2.11 Profit and Cost of LOT3

| | 1 4010 | 0.2.11 | i ioin ui | iu Cost 0. | 1 LO15 | | |
|-------------|----------|--------|-----------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Unit | 2019 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2038 |
| Sales | 1000USD | 8,101 | 9,036 | 14,496 | 22,026 | 23,611 | 24,617 |
| Cost | 1000USD | 7,222 | 7,304 | 9,232 | 9,972 | 10,779 | 11,326 |
| Profit | 1000USD | 879 | 1,732 | 5,264 | 12,054 | 12,833 | 13,291 |
| (SS Tariff) | Cent/kWh | 0.65 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.76 | 0.81 | 0.85 |
| (Unit cost) | Cent/kWh | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0.45 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.39 |

Table 8.2.12 Profit and Cost of LOT2+LOT3

| | Unit | 2019 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2038 |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Sales | 1000USD | 23,037 | 25,696 | 41,223 | 62,636 | 67,145 | 70,005 |
| Cost | 1000USD | 20,675 | 20,892 | $25,\!527$ | 27,489 | 29,619 | 31,064 |
| Profit | 1000USD | 2,362 | 4,804 | 15,696 | 35,147 | 37,526 | 38,941 |
| (SS Tariff) | Cent/kWh | 1.85 | 1.87 | 2.01 | 2.15 | 2.31 | 2.40 |
| (Unit cost) | Cent/kWh | 1.66 | 1.52 | 1.24 | 0.94 | 1.02 | 1.07 |

Table 8.2.13 Profit and Cost of LOT1+LOT2+LOT3

| | 14010 012 | | and cost | 01 20 11 | D 01 2 D | 010 | |
|-------------|-----------|--------|----------|----------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| | Unit | 2019 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2038 |
| Sales | 1000USD | 37,973 | 42,355 | 67,950 | 103,246 | 110,678 | 115,392 |
| Cost | 1000USD | 34,129 | 34,480 | 41,822 | 45,006 | 48,460 | 50,802 |
| Profit | 1000USD | 3,844 | 7,876 | 26,127 | 58,239 | 62,218 | 64,590 |
| (SS Tariff) | Cent/kWh | 1.52 | 1.54 | 1.65 | 1.77 | 1.90 | 1.98 |
| (Unit cost) | Cent/kWh | 1.37 | 1.26 | 1.02 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.87 |

(6) Results of Financial analysis

The FIRRs by LOT are as the following table. The FIRRs are calculated by the three cases, the first FIRR named by "Likelihood scenario" is calculated by partial tariffs based on the actual power tariff in 2012, the second FIRR named by "10 % less scenario" is calculated by 90% to the partial tariffs (it means 10% less than the actual power tariff) and the third FIRR named by "20% less scenario" is calculated by 80% to the partial tariffs (it means 20% less than the actual power tariff),

| 10 | $010 \ 0.2.14 \ \mathrm{FIKK},$ | r artiar tariff and C | JOSIS UY LOT | |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | Likelihood | 10% less | 20% less |
| | | scenario | scenario | Scenario |
| LOT1 | Partial tariff | 1.18c ¢ /kWh | 1.06 ¢ /kWh | 0.94 ¢ /kWh |
| | Cost | 0.81 ¢ /kWh | 0.81 ¢ /kWh | 0.81 ¢ /kWh |
| | FIRR | 16.2% | 14.3% | 12.2% |
| LOT2 | Partial tariff | 1.18 ¢ /kWh | 1.06 ¢ /kWh | 0.94 ¢ /kWh |
| | Cost | 0.81 ¢ /kWh | 0.81 ¢ /kWh | 0.81 ¢ /kWh |
| | FIRR | 16.2% | 14.3% | 12.2% |
| LOT3 | Partial tariff | 0.64 ¢ /kWh | 0.58 ¢ /kWh | 0.51 ¢ /kWh |
| | Cost | 0.45 ¢ /kWh | 0.45 ¢ /kWh | 0.45 ¢ /kWh |
| | FIRR | 14.9% | 13.1% | 10.9% |
| LOT1+LOT2 | Partial tariff | 1.18c ¢ /kWh | 1.06 ¢ /kWh | 0.94 ¢ /kWh |
| | Cost | 0.81 ¢ /kWh | 0.81 ¢ /kWh | 0.81 ¢ /kWh |
| | FIRR | 16.2% | 14.3% | 12.2% |
| LOT2+LOT3 | Partial tariff | 1.82 ¢/kWh | 1.64 ¢/kWh | 1.45 ¢ /kWh |
| | Cost | 1.26 ¢/kWh | 1.26 ¢/kWh | 1.26 ¢ /kWh |
| | FIRR | 15.7% | 13.9% | 11.7% |
| LOT1+LOT2+LOT3 | Partial tariff | 1.50 ¢/kWh | 1.35 ¢/kWh | 1.20 ¢ /kWh |
| | Cost | 1.03 ¢ /kWh | 1.03 ¢ /kWh | 1.03 ¢ /kWh |
| | FIRR | 15.9% | 14.0% | 12.0% |

Table 8.2.14 FIRR, Partial tariff and Costs by LOT

Note: The Cost of "LOT2+LOT3" is the summation of LOT2 cost and LOT3 cost. And the cost of "LOT1+LOT2+LOT3" is the average between "LOT1" and "LOT2+LOT3".

<Evaluation of FIRR>

The FIRRs by LOT are 16 % in likelihood scenario, 14% in 10% less scenario and 12 % in 20 % less scenario. As the interest rate for the project loan even though including local fund procurement is less than 2 % per year. When the FIRR might be 4 %, it is feasible as infrastructure project under such low interest rate. According to the above financial analysis, all kinds of FIRRs exceed the expected target to be over 10 %. Therefore, the profitability of financial analysis is no problem.

It can be considered that future Kurdish power tariff (including subsidy) is decided by the increase of fuel prices and power efficiencies. It can be expected that power efficiencies are increased by introduction of Independent Power Producers (IPP) and Gas Combined Cycle (GCC). Therefore it can consider that fuel costs increase with 2 % per year are absorbed by the improvement of the productivity in the power sector. When the power tariffs in 2012 are set constantly in all calculation periods, FIRRs are decreased by around 2 % from the current level. If so, as the FIRRs can be kept over 10%, the profitability as infrastructure project is kept.

8.2.3 Results of economic analysis

As benefits of economic analysis, it can be considered that the project substitutes private small diesel generators to be inefficiency due to high diesel oil cost. Until now, some power consumers have had small diesel generators for measuring power shortage in Kurdistan. The main reason of power shortage is power generator capacity and transmission network shortage in Kurdistan. Therefore, introduction of new power generators and new power transmission networks make power shortage decrease, after that, it can make private small diesel generators reduce. The reduction of private small diesel generators makes the imported diesel oil decrease into Kurdistan, which becomes national benefit for Kurdistan.

Other hand, resolution of power shortage makes labor productivity increase, which makes GDP growth rate increase as the results. This is big economic benefits for Kurdistan In the economic analysis, the above two impacts are applied as economic benefit.

(1) Cost calculation of diesel generator

The following table is expressions for estimating diesel generator cost in 2012. The costs are separated to fuel cost and fixed cost.

| Diesel oil price | 50 cent /liter |
|------------------|---|
| Power efficiency | 30% |
| Fuel cost | 9,000kcal/liter*30%/860kcal/kWh*50cent/liter=16cent/kWh |
| Fixed cost | \$1,000/kW*(Depreciation*10% + Interest*10% + Other cost*10%)=3.4cent/kWh |
| Generation cost | 16 cent/kWh+3.4 cent/kWh=19.4cent/kWh |
| Minimum cost | 19.4cent/kWh*90%=17.0 cent/kWh |

Table 8.2.15 Estimation of Diesel generation cost

Source: Diesel oil price and Fixed costs are estimated by JICA Survey Team

(2) GDP increase by power shortage reduction

The following table shows calculation procedures of the benefit as GDP increase from the project. At first, labor productivity are calculated by GDP per capita and number of consumers from the project. As the next stage, the labor productivity makes GDP increases.

| Number of beneficiary | 500MW*1,000*70%*8,760hour/7,000kWh/person=438,000person | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Minimum beneficiary | 438,000person * 70%=307,000person | | | |
| GDP per capita | \$6,000 per capita in 2012 | | | |
| Power shortage | 5% in year average (10 labor hours/day*5%=0.5 hour / day) | | | |
| reduction rate | | | | |
| Labor productivity | GDP per capita* Minimum beneficiary*(1+Power shortage reduction rate) | | | |
| increase | | | | |
| GDP increase from | Labor productivity increase *15% | | | |
| SS & TL | | | | |

Source: GPD per capita shown in Table 2.1.12 GDP at current price and GDP per capita

(3) The results of economic analysis

Benefits of economic analysis are diesel oil reduction and GDP increase, and the Cost are Investment (none tax), Wages (manager + skilled), O/M expenses (none import Tax) and Administration cost. The following table shows the benefits including diesel reduction (summation in 2019~2048) and GDP increase (summation in 2019~2048) and EIRR.

| Table 8.2.17 Results of EIRR | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|---------------|------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| LOT | EIRR | Total | Diesel reduction | GDP increase | | | |
| | | Benefits | Benefit | Benefit | | | |
| LOT1 | 22.9% | 1,564 million | 1,160 million | 404 million | | | |
| | | USD | USD | USD | | | |
| LOT2 | 22.9% | 1,564 million | 1,160 million | 404 million | | | |
| | | USD | USD | USD | | | |
| LOT3 | 21.6% | 853 million | 635 million | 218 million | | | |
| | | USD | USD | USD | | | |
| LOT2+LOT3 | 21.9% | 2,374 million | 1,751 million | 623 million | | | |
| | | USD | USD | USD | | | |
| LOT1+LOT2+LOT3 | 22.3% | 3,945 million | 2,918 million | 1,027 million | | | |
| | | USD | USD | USD | | | |

Note: The benefits are total from 2019 to 2048.

<Evaluation of EIRR>

EIRR of "LOT1+LOT2+LOT3" reaches around 22 % and it is higher than FIRR with around 16 %. The benefit of diesel oil reduction is around 3,000 million USD and the benefit of GDP increase is around 1,000 million USD in operating 30 years. The average net benefit per year is 90 million USD ((Benefit:3,945 – Cost :1,254)÷30 years, it is not present value.)

Other hand, the investments for LOT1 and LOT2 are 99.5 million USD respectively and LOT3 is 57 million USD. The total investment is 256 million USD. And Pay Back Period (PBP) based on cash flow (it is present value base) is 8 years after starting operation. It means that the total net benefit from 2019 to 2026 almost equal to the total investment.

The project does not target the whole power business, it is only substation and transmission line. Therefore, the partial tariffs for substation and transmission line are introduced and used in economic financial analysis, which complicates the procedures of the analysis. As the mind position of the analysis, the benefits should be evaluated by rather lower worth, and the cost items in financial analysis are remained as cost item in the economic analysis as much as possible.

As the results of the above procedures, EIRR reaches 22 %. It can be said that the profitability of the project is comparatively kept at higher level than other infrastructure projects.

8.3 Achievement indicators for the project

As achievement indicators for the project after implementation, the following indicators should be selected. Power distribution targets in Erbil and Sulaymani are the forecasted power demand in 2020. As power shortage, even though the Master plan of RMEK has already had the targets of power shortage reduction by 2015, herein, what it is resolved by 2020 is set as the project target.

| Items | Indicators & Targets | Situation in 2012 |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|
| The targeted governorates | Erbil | |
| | Sulaymani | |
| Indicator for measuring the | Indicator 1 : Implementation of power supply plan | |
| project effect | Indicator 2 :Reduction of power shortage | |
| Distributed power supply as | Erbil :1,900MW(16.6 TWh) in 2020 | 665 MW |
| Indicator 1 | Sulaymani :2,100MW(18.4 TWh) in 2020 | 755 MW |
| Targets of Power shortage | Erbil :Almost zero in 2020 | 20 % shortage |
| reduction as Indicator 2 | Sulaymani : Almost zero in 2020 | 20 % shortage |

 Table 8.3.1 Project achievement indicators

Note: Targets of power distributions are calculated from RMEK peak demand forecasts in 2020. The target peak demand in 2020 = Targets of Power distribution in 2020 / 0.7

"Indicator 1" means the power supply plan by RMEK up to 2020. By the plan, RMEK considers that the current power shortage will be resolved in 2020 at the latest. Therefore, the RMEK and JICA Survey Team select RMEK's power supply plan in 2020 as "Indicator 1".

"Indicator 2" means that the power shortage will be resolved by the implementation of RMEK's plan. In the past years, there was power shortage even though implementing RMEK's power supply plan. "Indicator 2" puts emphasis that the power shortage is resolved if the current RMEK's power supply plan will be implemented through this project and so on.