Systems Analysis and Control

Matthew M. Peet Illinois Institute of Technology

Lecture 14: Root Locus Continued

In this Lecture, you will learn:

Review: What happens at high gain?

• Angles of Departure

The Case of 90° Departure

• Calculating the center of asymptotes

Breaking off the Real Axis

• Break Points

What is the effect of small gain?

• Departure Angles

Root Locus

Review of Asymptotes

Pole locations change at high gain.

- Some poles stay small
- Some poles get large
 - Asymptotes depend on relative number of poles and zeros.

Small poles go to zeros.

Big poles leave on asymptotes: Cases:

- n m = 0 No Asymptotes
- n-m=1 Asymptote at 180°
- n-m=2 Asymptotes at $\pm90^\circ$
- n-m=3 Asymptotes at 180° , $\pm 60^{\circ}$
- n-m=4 Asymptotes at $\pm 45^{\circ}$ and $\pm 135^{\circ}$



Root Locus

 90° Asymptotes



2 vertical asymptotes at 90° and 270° .

Poles MAY destabilize at large gain. But will they???

• Why these poles?

The Asymptotic Center

Recall

- m = # of zeroes
- n = # of poles

Problem 1: When n - m = 2.

• Is high gain destabilizing?

Problem 2: When $n - m \ge 2$.

• Which poles get big?



Definition 1.

The Center of Asymptotes is where all asymptotes meet.

The center of asymptotes is only for the big poles on the root locus.

• The center of asymptotes is the average of these points as $k \to \infty$.

$$center = \frac{\sum q_{i_{BIG}}}{\#_{i_{BIG}}}$$

Lecture 14: Control Systems

$$center = \frac{\sum q_{i_{BIG}}}{\#_{i_{BIG}}}$$

Denote

- q_i are the CLOSED-LOOP poles
 - q_i are roots of d(s) + kn(s)
- z_i are the zeros (open and closed loop)
 - ▶ z_i are roots of n(s)
- p_i are the OPEN-LOOP poles
 - ▶ p_i are roots of d(s)

Calculating $\#_{i_{BIG}}$ is easy!

- Small poles go to zeroes
- Big poles form asymptotes

$$\#_{i_{BIG}} = n - m = \#OL \ poles - \#OL \ zeroes$$

Real Problem: How to calculate

$$\sum q_{i_{BIG}}?$$



Recall from Routh-Hurwitz: Let p_i be the roots of d(s).

$$d(s) = s^{n} + a_{1}s^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n} = (s - p_{1})(s - p_{2})\cdots(s - p_{n})$$

Observe what happens as we expand out the roots:

$$d(s) = (s - p_1)(s - p_2)(s - p_3)(s - p_4) \cdots (s - p_n)$$

= $(s^2 - (p_1 + p_2)s + p_1p_2)(s - p_3)(s - p_4) \cdots (s - p_n)$
= $(s^3 - (p_1 + p_2 + p_3)s^2 + (p_1p_2 + p_2p_3 + p_1p_3)s - p_1p_2p_3)(s - p_4) \cdots (s - p_n)$
= \cdots

$$= s^{n} - (p_{1} + p_{2} + \dots + p_{n})s^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n}p_{1}p_{2} \cdots p_{n}$$

The second coefficient is the negative sum of the roots

$$a_1 = -(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n) = -\sum p_i$$

Since
$$\frac{kG}{1+kG}=\frac{kn}{d+kn},$$
 $\sum q_i$ is the second coefficient of
$$d(s)+kn(s)$$

Only interested in the case when $n-m\geq 2$

• 90° asymptotes or more.

$$d(s) = s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \cdots$$
$$n(s) = s^m + \cdots$$

When n - m = 2,

$$d(s) + kn(s) = s^{n} + a_{1}s^{n-1} + (a_{2} + k)s^{n-2} + \cdots$$

Conclusion: Changing k doesn't change the second coefficient.

• Sum of poles doesn't change under feedback.

$$\sum p_i = \sum q_i = -a_1$$

This sum is the second coefficient of d(s).

M. Peet

Recall we want to find

$$center = \frac{\sum q_{i_{BIG}}}{\#_{i_{BIG}}}$$

It is obvious that

$$\sum q_i = \sum q_{i_{BIG}} + \sum q_{i_{SMALL}} = -a_1$$

So that

$$\sum q_{i_{BIG}} = -a_1 - \sum q_{i_{SMALL}}$$

So how do we find $\sum q_{i_{SMALL}}$?

• As $k \to \infty$ small poles go to zeroes.

At high gain

$$\sum q_{i_{SMALL}} \cong \sum z_i$$



$$G(s) = \frac{n(s)}{d(s)}$$

The zeros, z_i are the roots of n(s).

$$n(s) = s^m + b_1 s^{m-1} + \dots = (s - z_1) \cdots (s - z_m)$$

As before

$$\sum z_i = -b_1$$

Finally

$$center = \frac{\sum q_{i_{BIG}}}{\#_{i_{BIG}}} = \frac{\sum q_i - \sum q_{i_{SMALL}}}{n - m}$$
$$= \frac{\sum p_i - \sum z_i}{n - m}$$
$$= \frac{b_1 - a_1}{n - m}$$

Where

- a_1 is the first coefficient of d(s)
- b_1 is the first coefficient of n(s)

Example: Suspension System

$$G(s) = \frac{s^2 + s + 1}{s^4 + 2s^3 + 3s^2 + 1s + 1}$$

$$#_{i_{BIG}} = n - m$$

= #poles - #zeroes
= 2.

Read off the coefficients

- $a_1 = 2$
- $b_1 = 1$



$$center = \frac{b_1 - a_1}{n - m} = \frac{1 - 2}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

Conclusion: High gain is stable.

M. Peet

Example: Tweaked Suspension System

Look what happens if we change 2^{nd} coefficient in n(s) from 1 to 3.

$$G(s) = \frac{s^2 + 3s + 1}{s^4 + 2s^3 + 3s^2 + 1s + 1}$$

$$\#_{i_{BIG}} = n - m = \#poles - \#zeroes = 2$$

Read off the coefficients

- $a_1 = 2$
- $b_1 = 3$

Thus

$$center = \frac{b_1 - a_1}{n - m} = \frac{3 - 2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$



M. Peet



Example: Suspension System with Integral Feedback

$$G(s) = \frac{s^2 + s + 1}{s^4 + 2s^3 + 3s^2 + 1s + 1} \frac{1}{s}$$
$$= \frac{s^2 + s + 1}{s^5 + 2s^4 + 3s^3 + 1s^2 + s}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \#_{i_{BIG}} &= n - m = \\ \#poles - \#zeroes = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Again, we have the same coefficients

- $a_1 = 2$
- $b_1 = 1$

Thus

center
$$=$$
 $\frac{b_1 - a_1}{n - m} = \frac{1 - 2}{3} = -\frac{1}{3}$



M. Peet

Another Example

$$G(s) = \frac{s^2 + s + 1}{s^6 + 2s^5 + 5s^4 - s^3 + 2s^2 + 1}$$

First,
$$\#_{i_{BIG}} = n - m = 4.$$

Again, we have the same coefficients

• $a_1 = 2$

•
$$b_1 = 1$$

Thus

center
$$=$$
 $\frac{b_1 - a_1}{n - m} = \frac{1 - 2}{4} = -\frac{1}{4}$



Alternative Example

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{s(s+4)(s+6)}$$

First, $\#_{i_{BIG}} = n - m = 3$

This time, we can directly use poles and zeros

No Zeroes

•
$$p_1 = 0, p_2 = -4, p_3 = -6.$$

$$\sum p_i = -4 - 6 = -10$$



center =
$$\frac{\sum p_i - \sum z_i}{n - m} = \frac{-10 - 0}{3} = -3.33\overline{3}$$

Lecture 14: Control Systems

DIY Example



Break points

Recall the inverted pendulum with derivative feedback.

(

$$G(s) = \frac{1+s}{s^2 - \frac{1}{2}}$$

When do the poles become imaginary?

• Important for choosing optimal k.



Lecture 14: Control Systems

Break points Other Examples



Break points

Recall for a point on the root locus

$$d(s) + kn(s) = 0$$

or for a point on the real axis: s = a

$$k(a) = -\frac{d(a)}{n(a)} = -\frac{1}{G(a)}$$

Idea: Use maximum principle to find the maximum and minimum of k on the real axis.

Definition 2.

The extrema of a continuous function of a real variable, f(a), occur at the boundary or when

$$\frac{d}{da}f(a) = 0$$

Break points

To find the point when the root locus leaves the real axis, we calculate the extrema of

$$k(a) = -\frac{1}{G(a)}$$

We need to solve

$$\frac{d}{da}k(a) = 0$$

or

$$\frac{d}{da}k(a) = -\frac{d}{da}\frac{1}{G(a)} = \frac{d(a)}{n(a)^2}n'(a) - \frac{d'(a)}{n(a)} = \frac{d(a)n'(a) - d'(a)n(a)}{n(a)^2} = 0$$

Break Points occur at real-valued solutions of

$$d(a)n'(a) - d'(a)n(a) = 0$$

Break points Numerical Example

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{s(s+4)(s+6)} = \frac{1}{s^3 + 10s^2 + 24s}$$

Break points occur when

$$d(a)n'(a) - d'(a)n(a) = 0 - (3a^2 + 20a + 24) = 0$$

which has roots

$$a_{1,2} = \frac{-20 \pm \sqrt{20^2 - 4 * 24 * 3}}{6}$$

$$\approx -5.1, -1.57$$



Break points Numerical Example

$$G(s) = \frac{(s+3)(s+4)}{(s+1)(s+2)} = \frac{s^2 + 7s + 12}{s^2 + 3s + 2}$$

Break points occur when

$$d(a)n'(a) - d'(a)n(a) = (a^2 + 3a + 2)(2a + 7) - (2a + 3)(a^2 + 7a + 12)$$
$$= (a^2 + 3a + 2)(2a + 7) - (2a + 3)(a^2 + 7a + 12)$$
$$= -2(2a^2 + 10a + 11) = 0$$

Which has roots

 $a_{1,2} = -1.634, -3.366$

Break points at -1.634 and -3.366.



Break points Numerical Example

$$G(s) = \frac{1+s}{s^2 - \frac{1}{2}}$$

Break points occur when

$$d(a)n'(a) - d'(a)n(a) = (a^2 - .5) \cdot 1 - 2a \cdot (1+a) = -(a^2 + 2a + .5) = 0$$

Which has roots

$$a_{1,2} = -.293, -1.707$$



Break points at -.293 and -1.707

Break points Summary

Step 1: Root Locus starts at Open Loop Poles. **Step 2**: At Large Gain, $k \to \infty$

- Small Poles go to zeroes
- Large Poles approach asymptotes
- Center at

$$\sigma = \frac{\sum p_i - \sum z_i}{n - m} = \frac{b_1 - a_1}{n - m}$$

Step 3: On real axis

- When odd number of poles/zeroes to the right.
- Break points when

$$-\frac{d}{da}\frac{1}{G(a)} = 0$$
 or $d(a)n'(a) - d'(a)n(a) = 0$

The root locus starts at the poles.

- What it the effect of small gain?
- Do the poles become more or less stable?





To find the departure angle, we look at a very small region around the departure point.



For a point to be on the root locus, we want phase of 180° .

$$\angle G(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \angle (s - z_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \angle (s - p_i) = 180^{\circ}$$

If we make the point s extremely close to the pole p.

• The angle to other poles and zeros from s is the same as from p.

•
$$\angle (s-z_i) \cong \angle (p-z_i)$$
 for all i

•
$$\angle (s - p_i) \cong \angle (p - p_i)$$
 for all i

• The only difference is the phase from p itself. The phase due to p equals the departure angle, \angle_{dep}

$$\angle (s-p) = \angle_{dep}$$

The total phase is

$$\angle G(s) = \angle G(p) - \angle_{dep} = 180^{\circ}$$

Thus the departure angle from pole p is

$$\angle_{dep} = \angle G(p) + 180^{\circ}$$



Therefore, to find the departure angle from pole p, just find the phase at p.

Numerical Examples



The phase at p is based on geometry.

$$\angle G(p) = 150^{\circ} - 90^{\circ} - 45^{\circ} = 15^{\circ}$$

So the departure angle is easy to calculate.

$$\angle_{dep} = \angle G(p) + 180^\circ = 195^\circ$$

Numerical Examples

$$G(s) = \frac{s^2 + s + 1}{s^4 + 2s^3 + 3s^2 + 1s + 1}$$

Poles at

- $p_{1,2} = -.957 \pm 1.23$
- $p_{3,4} = -.0433 \pm .641$

Zeroes at

• $z_{1,2} = -.5 \pm .866i$

Problem:

Find departure angle at $p_1 = -.957 + 1.23$.



$$\angle_{dep} = 180^{\circ} + \angle (p_1 - z_1) + \angle (p_1 - z_2) - \angle (p_1 - p_2) - \angle (p_1 - p_3) - \angle (p_1 - p_4) - (p_1 - p_4) - (p_1 - p_4) - (p_1 - p_4)$$

The difficulty is calculating the phase.

M. Peet

$$\angle (p_1 - z_1) = \angle (-.957 + 1.23i + .5 - .866i) \qquad \times \qquad \stackrel{p_1}{=} \angle (-.457 + .364i) \qquad = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{.364}{-.457}\right) \qquad < (-.457 + .364i) \qquad \bigcirc \stackrel{z_1}{=} 141.46^{\circ}$$

$$\angle (p_1 - z_2) = \angle (-.457 + 2.096i) = 102.3^{\circ}$$

Obviously,

$$\angle (p_1 - p_2) = 90^{\circ}$$

 $\angle (p_1 - p_3) = 147.2^{\circ}, \qquad \angle (p_1 - p_4) = 116.03^{\circ}$

Numerical Examples

Now that we have all the angles:

$$\angle G(p_1) = \angle (p_1 - z_1) + \angle (p_1 - z_2) - \angle (p_1 - p_2) - \angle (p_1 - p_3) - \angle (p_1 - p_4)$$

= 141.46° + 102.3° - 90° - 147.2° - 116.03°
= -109.47°

We conclude

$$\angle_{dep,p_1} = \angle G(p_1) + 180^\circ = 70.53^\circ$$

By symmetry we could find

$$\angle_{dep,p_2} = -70.53^{\circ}$$



Departure Angle Numerical Examples

What about a pole on the real axis?



Calculating the Departure Angle

DIY Example



Summary

What have we learned today?

Review: What happens at high gain?

• Angles of Departure

The Case of 90° Departure

• Calculating the center of asymptotes

Breaking off the Real Axis

Break Points

What is the effect of small gain?

• Departure Angles

Next Lecture: Arrival Angles, Summary + Examples